

State Microgrid Policy, Programmatic, and Regulatory Framework

Draft Outline – March 2022

Introduction: How to use this framework

- Two tracks: one for PUCs and one for State Energy Offices

Section 1: Relevant Background Information

- Introduction (brief, mostly a reference to NASEO/NARUC reports)
 - Definition, components, types, and use cases for microgrids
 - Importance of microgrids for resilience and decarbonization purposes
 - Purpose of framework
 - Emphasis on benefits of SEO/PUC collaboration when developing a state microgrid program
 - NASEO-NARUC Microgrids State Working Group
- Understanding options to funding and financing microgrids
 - Cost share
 - Cost benefit analysis
 - Joint investment opportunities
 - NASEO/NARUC Paper: Private, State, and Federal Funding and Financing Options to Enable Resilient, Affordable, and Clean Microgrids
 - Utility owned (rate-based) vs third party owned microgrids
 - Role State Energy Offices play in funding third party owned projects

Section 2: State Microgrid Landscape

- State-specific considerations:
 - Market and Utility Structure
 - Is it vertically integrated?
 - PUC/State Energy Office
 - Who leads the program development and deployment
 - Policy vs regulation
 - Identify existing programs in the state
 - Existing microgrids and ownership model
 - Climate risks
- State Microgrid Legislative Action
 - What legislative actions underpin microgrid programs?
 - Standards
 - Appropriations
 - Legislative action examples (e.g., California SB 1339) and resulting responsibilities for PUCs and State Energy Offices
 - Brief overview of other state microgrid programs / regulatory initiatives (i.e., NY & CT)
 - Potential legislative changes needed
 - State specific microgrid definition
 - Properly valuing the resilience benefits of microgrids
 - Equity considerations
- State Microgrid Policies and Programs

- o Governor and State Energy Office Policy Actions
- o Executive branch and legislative branch
 - Roadmaps
 - Goals
 - Executive orders
 - Studies/publications
 - Data collection
- o Overview of proposed actions/policies that led regulatory actions
- State Microgrid Regulations
 - o Example regulations across the country
 - o Why PUCs have a role in removing barriers to microgrids in the public interest
 - o Proposals from the private sector, including developers and utilities
- Timelines for Program Development
 - o From both the regulatory and legislative sides
- Information Gathering on Landscape for Microgrids within the state
 - o Solicit input from relevant stakeholders
 - o Gather feedback from community members
 - o What data is needed/available/not available?
 - o Review State Energy Plans/Climate/Resilience Plans and role microgrids can play in achieving state decarbonization goals
- Stakeholder Outreach and Engagement (from both the policy and regulatory perspective)
 - o RFI (<https://microgridknowledge.com/rhode-island-municipal-microgrids-rfi/>)
 - o Public Comment
 - o Agency Coordination
 - Including: State Energy Office, PUC, economic development agency, emergency management agency, etc
 - o Community engagement
 - Both community members themselves and relevant environmental justice organizations
 - o Participation in formal dockets
- Technical Assistance Opportunities
 - o State, federal, or private funding to do so within the state
 - o Contracting to outside organization
- Working Group/Task Force
 - o Developing a new one or coordinating with an existing group

Section 3: Choose Your Path: Track 1- PUCs and Track 2- State Energy Offices

Track 1: PUCs: Steps to Assess and Remove Regulatory Barriers to Microgrids

- Common regulatory barriers to microgrids
 - o Developing common standards and tools to recognize the value of microgrids for resilience / lack of standardized tariff structure to connect customers and providers
 - o Outdated language in utility rules or state code
 - o In some states, conflict with retail choice
- Areas of uncertainty / additional complexities applicable to microgrids

- o Right of way for multi-customer microgrids
- o Ownership structures and responsibilities for operation, maintenance, and control
- o Interconnection timelines and costs
- o Access to markets where microgrids can compete to provide grid services
- o Consideration of microgrids in IRP / DSP processes
- Options available to PUCs
 - o Summarizing barriers and uncertainties through a study or stakeholder engagement process
 - Soliciting input from relevant stakeholders / community members
 - o Assessing which actions are available to the PUC given current statutory authority
 - o Developing microgrid tariffs
 - Summary of Hawaii and California processes
 - o Updating rules to reduce uncertainty for microgrids, with examples
 - Streamlining interconnection rules
 - Guidance for inclusion of microgrids in IRP / DSP processes and Electric Security Plans (I.e. AEP example)
 - Improving access to markets for ancillary services
- Where legislative clarity may be needed
 - o Providing a statutory definition of a microgrid
 - o Granting the PUC authority to develop tariffs and rules for microgrids
 - o Incorporating resilience, equity, and other considerations into regulatory decision-making

Track 2: State Energy Offices: Steps for Developing a State Microgrid Policy or Program

- Brief introduction on developing a microgrid program and what tools are needed
- Funding and financing options for program
- Authority of program
- Developing a state microgrid program application
 - o Equity considerations
 - Accessibility of application to LMI communities, non-English speakers, etc
 - o Goals for microgrid program
 - Enhanced resilience
 - Energy efficiency (preventing energy loss, load management)
 - Support critical infrastructure
 - Integrate distributed energy resources
 - Support municipalities' need
 - Decrease GHG and particulate matter emissions
- Drafting RFP (with sample language included)
 - o Eligibility requirements
 - o Program parameters
 - o Selection criteria
 - o Application process
 - o Support for disadvantaged communities
- Approaches to selecting recipients

- o Ranking scale
 - o Inclusion of relevant criteria
- Funding of feasibility studies
 - o Site specific and state-wide
- Demonstration/pilot projects at critical infrastructure
 - o Military
 - o Hospitals
 - o Water/wastewater
 - o Communications
 - o Transportation
 - o Emergency facilities
 - o Government facilities
- Cybersecurity Considerations
- Awarding grants
- Metrics for success
- Next steps
 - o Support for awardees

Section 4: Conclusion

- Opportunities for PUC/State Energy Office Coordination on program development
- Relevant tools that are available
- Relevant resources that are available
- Annex materials (I.e., draft RFP)