

Regulatory Paradigms to Support Dynamic Load Flexibility





In Demand: Regulatory Considerations for Load Flexibility

Presented by Natalie Mims Frick, Berkeley Lab Contributions by Lisa C. Schwartz, Berkeley Lab

National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners

Joint Session of the Committee on Electricity and the Committee on Energy Resources and the Environment February 11, 2020

This presentation was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy's Building Technologies Office under Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231

What is Demand Flexibility?

Demand flexibility (also known as load **flexibility**) is the capability of distributed energy resources (DERs) to adjust demand profiles across different timescales.

Source: U.S. Department of Energy's Grid-interactive Efficient Buildings



Benefit	Utility System	Society
Reduced operating & maintenance costs	\checkmark	\checkmark
Reduced generation capacity costs	\checkmark	\checkmark
Reduced energy costs	\checkmark	\checkmark
Reduced transmission & distribution costs	\checkmark	\checkmark
Reduced transmission & distribution losses	\checkmark	\checkmark
Reduced ancillary services costs	\checkmark	\checkmark
Increased system reliability	\checkmark	\checkmark
Increased safety	\checkmark	\checkmark
Increased resilience	\checkmark	\checkmark
Increased DER integration	\checkmark	\checkmark
Improved power quality	\checkmark	\checkmark
Reduced customer outages	\checkmark	\checkmark
Increased customer satisfaction	\checkmark	\checkmark
Increased customer flexibility and choice	\checkmark	\checkmark
Reduced environmental compliance costs	\checkmark	\checkmark
Other environmental benefits	-	\checkmark
Economic development benefits Source: Woolf et al, forthcoming	-	\checkmark



Demand Flexibility and State Goals

- Helps meet multiple state policy goals
 - Energy-related goals like resilience and reliability, energy affordability, emissions, energy efficiency, renewable energy generation, electrification, energy security, grid modernization
 - Other goals such as economic development and critical infrastructure
- Reduces stress on grid
 - Growth in peak demand
 - Infrastructure constraints for T&D systems
 - Increasing share of variable renewable generation utility-scale and distributed

Electrification of space and water heating, industrial processes and transportation

- Provides higher value than traditional grid solutions with additional benefits:
 - For consumers e.g., asset value, more control over energy use
 - For society e.g., jobs, energy security, resilience, environmental and public health benefits
- Improves building performance
 - States can lead by example to reduce energy waste, emissions, and electricity costs and improve resilience.









Key Actions States (and Local Governments) Can Take to Advance Demand Flexibility	Gov. Office	PUC	SEO	Other Agencies*	City/County	Utilities	RTO/ISO	Bldg. Owners ^{**}
1. Gather Information and Identify Opportunities								
Consider how demand flexibility can support goals	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
Inventory options and select opportunities for early action	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
Participate in pilot projects and share best practices		•	●	•	•	•		•
2. Develop and Implement Strategies in Integrate Demand Flexibility								
Develop a roadmap to advance demand flexibility	•	•	●	•	•	●	•	•
Develop mechanisms to allow building owners, operators, and occupants								
to earn compensation for providing grid services		•	U			•	•	•
Conduct outreach and education about opportunities and benefits		•	•	•	•	●	•	•
3. Accelerate Adoption								
Assess and remove barriers to advancing demand flexibility in buildings for grid services	•	•	•	•	•	●	•	•
Update economic valuation methods for DERs as energy assets for utility								
programs, plans and procurements								
Establish practices for robust and cost-effective assessments of demand								
flexibility performance for utility programs and electricity markets		•	•	•	•	•	•	•
Regularly assess and report on progress	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•

*For example, state departments of general services, codes, environment, economic development, and transportation, and financing authorities.

**Opportunities for owners and operators of privately owned buildings to support state and local activities.

Select LBNL Resources

- State and Local Energy Efficiency Action Network (SEE Action Network). Grid-Interactive Efficient Buildings: An Introduction for State and Local Governments. Prepared by L. Schwartz and G. Leventis, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory. Forthcoming.
- SEE Action Network. Determining Utility System Value of Demand Flexibility From Grid-Interactive Efficient Buildings. Prepared by T. Eckman, L. Schwartz, and G. Leventis, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory. Forthcoming.
- SEE Action Network. Retrospectively Assessing Demand Flexibility Performance of Grid-Interactive Efficient Buildings. Prepared by S. Schiller, S. Murphy, and L Schwartz, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory. Forthcoming.
- T. Woolf, B. Havumaki, D. Bhandari, M. Whited, and L. Schwartz. *Benefit-Cost Analysis for Utility-Facing Grid Modernization Investments.* Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory. Forthcoming.
- Satchwell, A., P. Cappers, J. Deason, S. Forrester, N. Frick, B. Gerke, and M.A. Piette. *A Conceptual Framework to Describe Energy Efficiency and Demand Response Interactions.* Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory. Forthcoming.
- Developing and Evaluating Metrics for Demand Flexibility in Buildings: Comparing Simulations and Field Data. Forthcoming.
- Time and locational sensitive value of efficiency
 - Reports: <u>Time-Sensitive Value of Efficiency: Use Cases in Electricity Sector Planning and Programs</u> (2019); <u>Time-varying value of electric energy efficiency</u> (2017); <u>Time-varying value of energy efficiency in Michigan</u> (2018); Locational Value of Distributed Energy Resources. Forthcoming
- End-Use Load Profiles for the U.S. Building Stock U.S. DOE Building Technologies Office funded project that is a multi-lab collaboration to create end-use load profiles representing all major end uses, building types, and climate regions in the U.S. building stock.
 - End-Use Load Profiles of the U.S. Building Stock: Market Needs, Use Cases and Data Gaps
 - End-Use Load Profile Inventory
- Electricity Markets and Policy energy efficiency research
- Peak Demand Impacts from Electricity Efficiency Programs
- □ Energy Efficiency in Electricity Resource Planning. Forthcoming.











Natalie Mims Frick nfrick@lbl.gov 510-486-7584 Lisa Schwartz lcschwartz@lbl.gov 510-486-6315

Visit our website at: http://emp.lbl.gov/

Click <u>here</u> to join the Berkeley Lab Electricity Markets and Policy Department mailing list and stay up to date on our publications, webinars and other events. Follow the Electricity Markets and Policy Department on Twitter @BerkeleyLabEMP



The National Potential for Load Flexibility VALUE AND MARKET POTENTIAL THROUGH 2030

PRESENTED BY Ryan Hledik

NARUC 2020 Winter Policy Summit February 11, 2020





Copyright © 2020 The Brattle Group, Inc.

You can't spell "DER" without "DR"

DR is the largest distributed energy resource (DER) in the U.S.



Total U.S. Installed Capacity (GW)

Notes:

EV charging demand assumes 6 kW charging demand per EV, does not account for coincidence of charging patterns. Rooftop solar PV estimate is installed capacity, does not account for derated availability during peak. Existing DR is the sum of retail DR from 2017 EIA-861 and wholesale DR from 2018 FERC Assessment of Demand Response and Advanced Metering; values are not modified to account for possible double-counting between wholesale and retail DR.

The national potential for load flexibility

A portfolio of load flexibility programs could triple existing DR capability, approaching 200 GW (20% of system peak) by 2030, with annual benefits exceeding \$15 billion



U.S. Cost-Effective Load Flexibility Potential

Notes: Existing DR capability does not account for impacts of retail pricing programs, as fewer than 1% of customers are currently enrolled in dynamic pricing rates and the impacts of long-standing TOU rates are already embedded in utility load forecasts. See appendix for summary of key modeling assumptions.

Load flexibility value

Avoided generation costs are the largest source of load flexibility value under national average conditions. There is significant regional variation in this finding.



Next steps for regulators and utilities

Market sizing & resource planning	 What is the potential size of the load flexibility resource? What is the potential value of the resource? What barriers will prevent this potential from being realized?
Regulatory decision-making	 What policy developments are on the horizon? How should rates be redesigned to promote load flexibility? Are new regulatory incentives needed? How can markets be more effectively opened to the demand-side?
Pilot program design and evaluation	 How to design new pilots, programs, and participation incentives? What are the measured impacts of the new programs? How to communicate these findings to industry stakeholders?
Strategy development	 Is the organization aligned around a consistent view of DR value? What are successful DR business models in other markets? What business models have failed and why?

Selected Brattle load flexibility & DR work products

- The Potential for Load Flexibility in Xcel Energy's Northern States Power Service Territory, prepared for Xcel Energy, June 2019.
- The Hidden Battery: Opportunities in Electric Water Heating, prepared for NRECA, NRDC, and PLMA, January 2016.
- Demand Response Market Research: Portland General Electric, 2016 to 2035, prepared for PGE, January 2016.
- Valuing Demand Response: International Best Practices, Case Studies, and Applications, prepared for EnerNOC, January 2015.
- Exploring the Role of Natural Gas and Renewables in ERCOT, Part III: The Role of DR, EE, and CHP, prepared for the Texas Clean Energy Coalition, May 2014.
- Demand Response Market Potential in Xcel Energy's Northern States Power Service Territory, prepared for Xcel Energy, April 2014.
- PacifiCorp Demand-Side Resource Potential Assessment for 2015-2034, Volume 3: Class 1 and 3 DSM Analysis, prepared for PacifiCorp with EnerNOC Utility Solutions, May 2014.
- Estimating Xcel Energy's Public Service Company of Colorado Territory Demand Response Market Potential, prepared for Xcel Energy with YouGov America, June 2013.
- Bringing Demand Side Management to the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, prepared for ECRA with Global Energy Partners and PacWest Consulting, May 2011.
- The Demand Response Impact and Value Estimation (DRIVE) Model, developed for FERC, 2010. Available on FERC website.
- National Action Plan on Demand Response, prepared for FERC, June 2010.
- A National Assessment of Demand Response Potential, prepared for FERC with Freeman Sullivan and Global Energy Partners, June 2009.

Presenter Information



RYAN HLEDIK

Principal | San Francisco, CA ryan.hledik@brattle.com 415.217.1029

Ryan Hledik specializes in regulatory and planning matters related to the emergence of distributed energy technologies.

Mr. Hledik has consulted for more than 80 clients across 35 states and 9 countries. He has supported his clients in matters related to energy storage, load flexibility, distributed generation, electrification, retail tariff design, energy efficiency, and grid modernization.

Mr. Hledik's work has been cited in regulatory decisions establishing procurement targets for energy storage and demand response, authorizing billions of dollars in smart metering investments, and approving the introduction of innovative rate designs. He is a recognized voice in debates on how to price electricity for customers with distributed generation. He co-authored Saudi Arabia's first Demand Side Management (DSM) plan, and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's landmark study, A National Assessment of Demand Response Potential.

Mr. Hledik has published more than 25 articles on retail electricity issues and has presented at industry events throughout the United States as well as in Brazil, Belgium, Canada, Germany, Poland, South Korea, Saudi Arabia, the United Kingdom, and Vietnam. His research on the "grid edge" has been cited in *Forbes, The New York Times* and *The Washington Post*, and in trade press such as *GreenTech Media*, Utility Dive, and Vox. He was named to Public Utilities Fortnightly's Under Forty 2019 list, recognizing rising stars in the industry.

Mr. Hledik received his M.S. in Management Science and Engineering from Stanford University, where he concentrated in Energy Economics and Policy. He received his B.S. in Applied Science from the University of Pennsylvania, with minors in Economics and Mathematics. Prior to joining Brattle, Mr. Hledik was a research assistant with Stanford's Energy Modeling Forum and a research analyst in Charles River Associates' Energy Practice.



In Demand:

Regulatory Considerations for Load Flexibility

Phil Markham Smart Buildings R&D Manager Southern Company Feb 11th, 2020



Grid-interactive Efficient Buildings (GEB) Research



GEBs will be the fastest growing form of load flexibility in the next decade.

For many residential customers, controlling the HVAC & water heater means controlling 50% of the home's electric load.



An electric vehicle will be the home's largest or second largest load.







Flexible Load | Knowledge & Technology Gaps



What's the realistic resource opportunity?

volded Generation Capacity, 9.4 billion/yr (57%) Value based on avoided cost of gas-fired combustion

Avoided Transmission & Distribution Capacity, 51.9 dillion/yr (128) V Value includes system-wide benefits of peak demand reduction, plus added benefit of geographically targeted T&D investment deferal Geo-targeted T&D deferal opportunities are typically high value but limited in quantity of near term need; this value is likely to grow as utility T&D data collection and planning processes improve

llary Services, \$0.3 billion/yr (2%)

Vauth accounts of my for inequency regulation and a samilar need equal to 30% of system peak demand), additional value may exist if considering other ancillary services products Frequency regulation provides very high value to a small amount of capacity; in our analysis, the full need for frequency regulation can be served through a robust smart water heating program

d Energy Costs, \$4.8 billion/yr (29%)

Value accounts for reduced resource costs associated with shifting load hours with lower cost to serve; does not include consumer benefits rorn reductions in wholesale price of electricity nergy value is best captured through programs that provide daily lexibility year-round, such as Auto-DR for C&I customers, TOU rates, EV harging load control, and smart water heating

What's the customer experience like?

Is flexible load a reliable resource, even in extreme weather?



Southern Company Smart Neighborhood Initiatives

Understanding tomorrow's home today



Two first-of-a-kind smart home communities at the intersection of energy efficiency, distributed energy resources & buildings-to-grid integration and the traditional utility model





- 62 single family homes
- Birmingham, AL
- Built to ~2035 building codes
- Flexible load control:
 - HVAC
 - Water heater

Demonstrated the ability to dispatch the loads against a 15-min signal

Observed variations in customer comfort preferences

HVAC control in particular requires greater sophistication to be successful







Southern Company Smart Neighborhood Initiatives Understanding tomorrow's home today

Two first-of-a-kind smart home communities at the intersection of energy efficiency, distributed energy resources & buildings-to-grid integration and the traditional utility model

≜ Smart ^{Georgia} Neighborhood™



- 46 townhomes in Atlanta, GA
- Flexible load control:
 - Solar
 - Battery storage
 - HVAC
 - Water heater
 - EV charger

Quantify the value of the added flexibility of the battery storage system and EV charger

Seek to incorporate greater sophistication in control algorithms e.g. personalized comfort models, occupancy detection, etc.







Flexible Load | Regulatory Considerations



Suggested Next Steps:

- Run pilots in partnership with regulators so that we all understand the opportunities and risks
- Demonstrate the performance and reliability of flexible load for operational applications before relying on them for longer-term planning decisions

Questions to Address:

- Can valuations for potential services provided by flexible load be developed accurately and fairly?
- Can we maintain (and hopefully improve) reliability?



THANKYOU!

Phil Markham

Smart Buildings R&D Manager ppmarkha@southernco.com