

Resolutions

Passed by the

Board of Directors
of the

National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners

at the

July 18-22, 2026

NARUC Summer Policy Summit
in Minneapolis, Minn.

If you are interested in this resolution, you should read the entire draft and do not rely on the truncated description in the Table of Contents.

Questions email Brad Ramsay – NARUC GC at 202.257.0568 or jramsay@naruc.org

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Committee on Consumers and the Public Interest	Page 4
	CPI-1 Resolution Regarding Sound Public Utility Ratemaking Principles.....	Page 4
	<i>Sponsor: Commissioner Maida Coleman Resolution [i] “reaffirms” that sound public utility ratemaking should remain grounded in the evidentiary record, governing law, and the informed judgment of state commissions; [ii] supports regulatory frameworks that preserve and optimize commission authority to determine return on equity and other ratemaking components based on the facts and circumstances presented; [iii] articulates that under the traditional rate-of-return ratemaking framework, commissions determine appropriate returns on equity based on case-specific analysis rather than impose arbitrary limits; and [iv] supports the technical expertise of commissions to resolve issues clearly falling within their statutory ambit.</i>	
	CPI-2 [WC-1] Resolution Urging Establishment of a Permanent Federal Water Affordability Program Sponsor – Obi Linton.....	Page 19
II.	Committee on Electricity	Page 6
	EL-1 Resolution in Support of the Timely Processing of Federal Reviews for Energy Generation Projects to Promote Energy Security, Reliability, and Affordability....	Page 6
	<i>Sponsor: Commissioner Doug Scott Resolution urges federal agencies responsible for the review of new energy generation projects to prioritize and expedite those reviews, establish transparent timelines to clear existing backlogs as efficiently as possible, and calls upon the agencies to enhance coordination with affected states to foster a collaborative approach to information sharing that facilitates the timely and responsible deployment of vital energy infrastructure.</i>	
	EL-2 Resolution Supporting the Use of Interregional HVDC Transmission to..Address Resource Adequacy, Reliability, and Electricity Affordability	Page 8
	<i>Sponsors: Commissioners Doug Scott and Gabriel Aguilera Resolution recognizes that interregional HVDC transmission can help address growing resource adequacy challenges by enabling access to resources across planning regions and encourages states, Regional Transmission Organizations/Independent System Operators, transmission providers, utilities, and federal policymakers to evaluate opportunities to incorporate interregional HVDC transmission into resource planning and resource adequacy strategies, including reviewing and, where appropriate, updating federal and state regulatory frameworks to ensure interregional HVDC transmission facilities are reflected within electricity markets and are appropriately recognized for the reliability, resilience, and affordability benefits they provide.</i>	

III. Committee on Telecommunications

Page 10

TC-1 Resolution Urging the FCC to Protect Critical Infrastructure and Ratepayer Interests in the Lower 900 MHz BandPage 10

Sponsor: Commissioner Chris Nelson; Cosponsors: Commissioners Regan, Nichols-Samms, Charles, Christman, Schram, Tuma, and Watermeier. Resolution [i] urges the FCC to recognize that the continued protection of critical utility infrastructure is in the broader public interest and reject the NextNav Petition to reallocate the Lower 900 MHz Band, [ii] urges the FCC, if it proceeds, to prioritize ratepayer affordability and essential service reliability by mandating changes affecting the Lower 900 MHz band include robust technical safeguards that maintain established interference and operational protections, retain priority for unlicensed low-power users, and require NextNav to be financially responsible for the significant financial and operational burdens that its proposal will otherwise shift to utilities, ratepayers, or the public.

TC-2 Resolution on Preservation of State Authority Under Section 224(c) of the Communications Act].....Page 13

Sponsor: Commissioner Regan. Cosponsor Commissioner Charles. Resolution [i] urges FCC to respect the statutory framework and refrain from converting Section 224(c) state certification into a system of ongoing federal review, supervision, or oversight, [ii] opposes any misconstruction of the statute purporting to expand FCC jurisdiction to conduct ongoing review of state rules, evaluate their effectiveness, impose federal standards, or revisit or condition state certifications based on policy disagreements; and [iii] supports voluntary collaborative efforts to improve transparency, coordination, and best-practice sharing among states, the FCC, utilities, and other stakeholders, provided those efforts are non-binding and do not undermine state authority.

IV. Committee on Water

Page 19

WC-1 (CPI-2) Resolution Urging Establishment of a Permanent Federal Water Affordability Program.....Page 19

Sponsor: Commissioners Erik Helland and Commissioner Obi Linton. Resolution urges Congress and the Administration to establish a permanent water affordability program and appropriate sufficient funds to, at a minimum, replicate the reach and impact of the original program and states that NARUC stands ready to assist Congress and the Administration in the development, establishment, and implementation of a permanent federal water affordability program.

CPI-1 Resolution Regarding Sound Public Utility Ratemaking Principles

Whereas setting public utility rates is a core responsibility delegated to state commissions staffed with the technical expertise to perform the complex, difficult duty of balancing customers' need for safe, reliable service at reasonable rates with utilities' objective of maintaining financial integrity to support the provision of reliable, affordable service over changing conditions in the short-, mid- and long-term;

Whereas ratemaking is a major regulatory function that affects all aspects of utility operations and directly impacts the different objectives that state commissions are charged with achieving in order to promote the public interest;

Whereas rate of return ratemaking and the various iterations of this function are central to public utility regulation and the implicit compact around which it centers;

Whereas the principles reflected in *Munn v. Illinois*, *Bluefield Water Works & Improvement Co. v. Public Service Commission*, and *Federal Power Commission v. Hope Natural Gas Co.* recognize that utilities subject to public regulation must have a reasonable opportunity to recover prudently incurred costs and an opportunity, but not a guarantee, to earn a return sufficient to maintain financial soundness, support credit quality, and attract capital on reasonable terms to support reliable, affordable service over the long term;

Whereas public utility ratemaking must balance the need to allow utilities an opportunity to earn reasonable regulated returns while customers pay an overall just and reasonable rate, and requires informed, case-specific judgment based on record evidence, expert testimony, financial analysis, utility-specific circumstances, short-, mid- and long-term public interest considerations, and applicable law;

Whereas a utility's revenue requirement is the amount needed to provide safe, reliable service and recover reasonable operating expenses, taxes, depreciation, and a rate of return through rates that are fair, just, and reasonable to customers;

Whereas return on equity is one component of the overall rate of return and cannot appropriately be determined by a single rigid formula applied across utilities, industries, jurisdictions, and economic conditions without regard to customer impact, certain types of risk, capital structures, operating obligations, and the evidentiary record in each case;

Whereas preserving state commission discretion to evaluate all relevant facts in a transparent evidentiary record supports effective and robust state regulation and gives state regulators the ability to help ensure safe, reliable, and affordable utility service over the long-term; *now, therefore be it*

Resolved, that the Board of Directors of the National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners, convened at its 2026 Summer Policy Summit in Minneapolis, Minnesota, reaffirms that sound public utility ratemaking should remain grounded in the evidentiary record, governing law, and the informed judgment of state commissions, consistent with the principles reflected in *Munn*, *Bluefield*, and *Hope*; supports regulatory frameworks that preserve and optimize commission authority to determine return on equity and other ratemaking components based on the facts and circumstances presented in each

proceeding; maintains that under rate-of-return regulation, commissions determine appropriate returns on equity, and other rate case elements, based on case-specific analysis, expert evaluation, and record evidence rather than imposing arbitrary limits; and supports the technical expertise of commissions to resolve issues that clearly fall within their statutory jurisdiction.

*Passed by the Committee on Consumers and the Public Interest
Adopted by the NARUC Board of Directors on July 22, 2026*

EL-1 Resolution in Support of the Timely Processing of Federal Reviews for Energy Generation Projects to Promote Energy Security, Reliability, and Affordability

Whereas the United States is experiencing electricity load growth greater than at any time in the last several decades - driven by the reshoring of manufacturing, broad electrification, and the rapid expansion of data centers; and

Whereas to address this load growth, states, public utility commissions, utilities, and energy developers are working urgently to bring new generation resources online to maintain resource adequacy; and

Whereas state public utility commissions are tasked with ensuring reliable electric service at just and reasonable rates, and rely heavily on the timely execution of planned generation projects to meet these statutory mandates; and

Whereas across the country, hundreds of new energy generation projects are in development, many of which require the review and approval of federal departments and agencies, including the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), the Department of Defense/War (DOD/DOW), and the Department of the Interior (DOI); and

Whereas it is fully recognized that new generation projects, particularly wind energy installations, must be carefully studied to ensure they do not present hazards to air traffic, military readiness, or other national security interests; and

Whereas federal processes to review new wind projects have recently experienced severe delays and backlogs, effectively stalling the deployment of new generation resources that states are counting on; and

Whereas industry estimates indicate these delays are affecting at least 100 projects across more than 20 states, potentially stranding approximately 30 GW of needed power, risking roughly \$50 billion in capital investment, and threatening tens of thousands of jobs; and

Whereas ongoing administrative bottlenecks threaten the energy security, reliability, and affordability of the United States' electric grid, and undermine efforts to supply power to critical infrastructure, including the data centers recognized as necessary for national security; and

Whereas this ongoing backlog has prompted calls for administrative action, legislative solutions, and litigation from Congressional leaders, state officials, and industry stakeholders; now, *therefore be it*

Resolved that the Board of Directors of the National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners (NARUC), convened at its 2026 Summer Policy Summit in Minneapolis, Minnesota, strongly urges the federal agencies responsible for the review of new energy generation projects to prioritize and expedite these reviews, establishing transparent timelines to clear existing backlogs as efficiently as possible; *and be it further*

Resolved that NARUC calls upon these federal agencies to enhance coordination with affected states, fostering a collaborative approach to information sharing that facilitates the timely and responsible deployment of vital energy infrastructure.

Passed by the Committee on Electricity

Adopted by the NARUC Board of Directors on July 22, 2026

EL-2 Resolution Supporting the Use of Interregional HVDC Transmission to Address Resource Adequacy, Reliability, and Electricity Affordability

Whereas U.S. electricity prices are increasing, creating growing affordability concerns for residential, commercial, and industrial customers across the country;

Whereas the Energy Information Administration reports that consumers paid, on average, \$110 more on their electric bills in 2025 than they paid in 2024;

Whereas increasing electrification, manufacturing reshoring, industrial expansion, and data center growth are accelerating electricity demand growth and placing additional stress on existing generation and transmission infrastructure;

Whereas utility load forecasts now project approximately 166 GW of additional U.S. peak electricity demand over the next five years — more than six times higher than utilities forecast only three years ago — reflecting the fastest period of demand growth in decades;

Whereas increased access to generation capacity is needed to support accelerating demand and maintain resource adequacy yet construction of new generation capacity faces permitting and siting challenges, interconnection delays, and supply chain constraints;

Whereas the increasing incidence, duration, and severity of extreme weather events impose additional stress on the grid and increase resource adequacy risks across planning regions;

Whereas interregional High Voltage Direct Current (HVDC) transmission enables bi-directional transfer capability and flexible energy flows between planning regions;

Whereas interregional HVDC transmission can provide planning regions access to diverse external generation resources and load profiles;

Whereas interregional HVDC can provide load-serving entities and their customers with access to additional capacity and energy resources without requiring equivalent construction of new generation supply within each individual footprint;

Whereas sharing existing energy resources between planning regions through HVDC technology can help reduce resource adequacy shortfalls, improve grid resilience, and deliver cost relief to consumers while preparing the electric system to meet future energy needs; *now, therefore be it*

Resolved that the Board of Directors of the National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners, convened at its 2026 Summer Policy Summit in Minneapolis, Minnesota, recognizes that interregional HVDC transmission can help address growing resource adequacy challenges by enabling access to resources across planning regions, and encourages Regional Transmission Organizations/Independent System Operators, transmission providers, utilities, and federal policymakers to evaluate opportunities to incorporate interregional HVDC transmission into resource planning and resource adequacy strategies, including reviewing and, where appropriate, updating federal regulatory frameworks to ensure

interregional HVDC transmission facilities are reflected within electricity markets and be appropriately recognized for the reliability, resilience, and affordability benefits they provide.

Passed by the Committee on Electricity

Adopted by the NARUC Board of Directors on July 22, 2026

TC-1 Resolution Urging the FCC to Protect Critical Infrastructure and Ratepayer Interests in the Lower 900 MHz Band

Whereas the National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners (NARUC) is a non-profit organization, founded in 1889, dedicated to representing America's state public service commissions (PSCs) that regulate investor-owned utilities, ensuring that safe, reliable, and affordable utility services are provided at just, reasonable, and non-discriminatory rates;

Whereas affordability is a fundamental mission of state PSCs and, in the current period of rising energy prices, it is more important than ever to the American public that state regulators safeguard from federal actions that jeopardize rate-payer funded utility infrastructure investments and the functionality of efficiency-promoting technologies, including automated meter reading (AMR), advanced meter reading (AMI), supervisory control and data acquisition (SCADA) and electric protection systems;

Whereas the FCC has maintained for more than 30 years that approved unlicensed devices operating in the 902-928 MHz band ("Lower 900 MHz band") receive safe harbor protections from interference claims by licensees operating with higher power limits in that band;

Whereas the safe harbor for unlicensed devices has fostered a ubiquitous and dependable ecosystem enabling a vast assortment of technologies to freely coexist and use the Lower 900 MHz band without incurring transmission charges;

Whereas electric, gas, and water utilities rely widely on unlicensed low-power communications in the Lower 900 MHz band to support the devices and technologies, including AMI, AMR, and SCADA, that make up, collectively, the backbone and fabric of America's critical utility infrastructure;

Whereas more than 160 million automated and advanced electric, gas, and water meters that use the Lower 900 MHz band to transmit real-time data are currently in-service and supporting nearly 200 million Americans;

Whereas NextNav, Inc. has filed a petition (WT Docket No. 24-240) requesting that the FCC reconfigure the Lower 900 MHz band to create and award to NextNav a new, nationwide license with 15 MHz of capacity ("NextNav Petition");

Whereas the NextNav Petition proposes to use a fraction of the 15 MHz capacity to support a terrestrial-based Positioning, Navigation, and Timing (PNT) network and 5G service;

Whereas the NextNav Petition proposes that it be permitted to use the balance of the 15 MHz capacity to transmit 5G traffic for its own economic benefit;

Whereas the NextNav Petition requests that the transmission power limits applicable to its new Lower 900 MHz license be increased substantially above currently permitted levels;

Whereas the NextNav Petition requests that the FCC remove the established safe harbor protections for the Lower 900 MHz Band, thereby permitting NextNav to interfere with unlicensed devices;

Whereas the combined effect of increased interference and de-prioritization would force all users relying on the Lower 900 MHz Band into the narrow remaining portion of the band that is insufficient to support the current and future needs of unlicensed devices;

Whereas such severe interference would jeopardize grid reliability, increase latency, and weaken the ability of operators to orchestrate power supply effectively;

Whereas utility metering and critical infrastructure devices no longer protected under the safe harbor would be deemed a cause of interference to NextNav and therefore shut down and retuned or replaced;

Whereas retuning or replacing these critical, ratepayer-funded utility metering and infrastructure devices would potentially cost consumers an estimated \$100 billion to deploy replacement solutions;

Whereas existing utility metering and other infrastructure systems are currently projected to generate at least \$16 billion in benefits over the next five years based on approved utility rate cases;

Whereas such a mandate would divert billions of dollars in capital currently earmarked for grid modernization, resilience, and meeting the growing energy demands of data centers and artificial intelligence toward simply maintaining the status quo;

Whereas the FCC has issued a Notice of Inquiry (NOI) in its proceeding entitled *Promoting the Development of Positioning, Navigation, and Timing Technologies and Solutions* requesting comment on options and priorities to promote resilience and alternatives to GPS (WT Docket No. 25 110) (“GPS/PNT NOI”);

Whereas NextNav contends the 15 MHz license and rule changes it seeks are warranted because they would enable it to offer a terrestrial-based PNT solution responding to needs described by the GPS/PNT NOI;

Whereas the record responding to the GPS/PNT NOI identifies more than 50 terrestrial and space-based solutions that address GPS resilience needs - none of which involve restructuring the Lower 900 MHz band nor the imposition of massive and unnecessary costs on utilities and ratepayers;

Whereas the Lower 900 MHz band is also vital for non-utility essential services, including railroad safety networks, municipal flood warning systems, and tolling systems such as EZ-Pass;

Whereas utilities increasingly rely on the Lower 900 MHz band for Uncrewed Aerial Systems (UAS or drones) that safely inspect infrastructure, protect worker safety, and conduct rapid damage assessments after natural disasters;

Whereas the FCC recently issued a Request for Comment (DA 26-314) seeking comment on steps it could take in support of two Executive Orders (EOs): *Unleashing American Drone Dominance* and *Restoring American Airspace Sovereignty*. The FCC recognized that interference in the Lower 900 MHz band is a threat to the ongoing viability of using that spectrum for UAS;

Whereas at its winter meeting in 2013, NARUC responded to a similar threat to utility infrastructure investment and reliability by passing the Resolution to Promote Co-Existence in the 902-928 MHz Spectrum Band, which opposed the request of Progeny (now a subsidiary of NextNav) for a license and rule changes relating to location services, that, if put into effect, would materially interfere with utility AMI and Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition (SCADA) infrastructure, and recommended that the FCC require mitigation of any interference with lower power devices in the 900 MHz band before issuing a final decision; *now, therefore be it*

Resolved that the Board of Directors of the National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners, convened at its 2026 Summer Policy Summit in Minneapolis, Minnesota, urges the FCC to recognize that the continued protection of critical utility infrastructure is in the broader public interest and reject the NextNav Petition to reorganize the Lower 900 MHz Band; *and be it further*

Resolved that if the FCC proceeds with this rulemaking, it must prioritize ratepayer affordability and essential service reliability by mandating that any proposed changes affecting the Lower 900 MHz band include robust technical safeguards that maintain established interference and operational protections, retain priority for unlicensed low-power users, and require NextNav to be financially responsible for the significant financial and operational burdens that it's proposal will otherwise shift to utilities, ratepayers, or the public.

Passed by the Committee on Telecommunications

Adopted by the NARUC Board of Directors on July 22, 2026

TC – 2 Resolution on Preservation of State Authority Under Section 224(c) of the Communications Act

Whereas access to reliable broadband Internet service is essential to consumers and to the growth and competitiveness of the nation's economy in the 21st century;

Whereas access to poles at just and reasonable rates, terms, and conditions is essential to the deployment of such service;

Whereas Section 224 of the Communications Act, 47 U.S.C. § 224, establishes a framework governing the rates, terms, conditions, and access to poles, ducts, conduits, and rights-of-way for pole attachments;

Whereas Section 224(c)(1) creates a clear jurisdictional boundary between federal and state authority, specifying that “nothing in this section shall be construed to apply to, or to give the Commission jurisdiction with respect to rates, terms, and conditions, or access...in any case where such matters are regulated by a State;”

Whereas such states play a critical role in facilitating broadband deployment because they are well-positioned to ensure access to poles at just and reasonable rates, terms, and conditions;

Whereas more than 20 states and the District of Columbia have certified under Section 224(c) that they regulate pole attachment rates, terms, and conditions, and have issued and made effective rules and regulations implementing such authority;

Whereas state commissions are uniquely positioned to balance broadband deployment objectives with electric system safety, reliability, engineering practices, workforce constraints, and cost allocation considerations affecting electric utility customers as contemplated under Section 224 (c);

Whereas these certifications reflect deliberate policy judgments by state commissions to regulate pole attachments at the state level to account for local conditions, including system safety, engineering practices, workforce availability, reliability obligations, and cost allocation considerations;

Whereas state pole attachment regulation necessarily involves balancing the needs of communications providers with the interests of electric utility customers, including determinations regarding the appropriate allocation of broadband deployment costs between attachers and electric ratepayers;

Whereas on June 11, 2026, the Federal Communications Commission’s Wireline Competition Bureau issued a Public Notice seeking comment on potential changes to the Commission’s certification rules, including whether to review state certifications and assess whether state regulatory regimes are sufficiently “transparent” and “effective”;

Whereas the Public Notice raises the prospect of ongoing federal evaluation of state regulatory regimes based on qualitative criteria not specified in Section 224(c), including assessments of the adequacy or effectiveness of state rules;

Whereas Section 224(c)(3)(A) requires only that states issue and make effective rules and regulations implementing their regulatory authority, and does not establish a federal standard for evaluating the substantive adequacy, efficiency, or policy outcomes of those rules;

Whereas Section 224(c)(3)(B) provides a narrow, matter-specific mechanism under which Commission jurisdiction may reattach where a state fails to take final action on an individual complaint within a defined timeframe, demonstrating that Congress identified limited and specific circumstances for federal re-entry;

Whereas Congress did not provide the Commission with authority to conduct ongoing review of certified state regulatory regimes, to impose federal performance standards, or to revoke or condition state certifications based on policy disagreement;

Whereas adoption of an “effectiveness,” “clarity,” or “adequacy” standard would allow the Commission to second-guess state policy judgments and effectively reassert jurisdiction whenever it disagrees with how a state regulates pole attachments;

Whereas such an approach would render reverse preemption meaningless by converting state authority into a conditional delegation subject to continuing federal approval, contrary to the jurisdictional structure specified by Congress;

Whereas reverse-preemption states have adopted regulatory frameworks reflecting differing local conditions, infrastructure characteristics, public policy priorities, and cost-allocation decisions, and Congress did not require uniformity among such regimes.

Whereas reinterpreting Section 224(c) to authorize ongoing federal oversight would represent a significant expansion of federal authority into areas traditionally regulated by states, raising substantial federalism concerns; *now, therefore be it*

Resolved, that because the plain text of Section 224(c) establishes a jurisdictional framework under which states that have certified and implemented regulation of pole attachment rates, terms, conditions, and access retain primary and exclusive authority over those matters, the Board of Directors of the National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners, convened in NARUC 2026 Summer Policy Summit in Minneapolis, Minnesota, respectfully

[i] urges the Commission to respect the statutory framework enacted by Congress and to refrain from converting state certification under Section 224(c) into a system of ongoing federal review, supervision, or oversight;

[ii] opposes any misconstruction of that plain text that would purport to expand the Federal Communications Commission’s jurisdiction to conduct ongoing review of state regulatory regimes, evaluate their adequacy or effectiveness, impose federal benchmarking standards, or revisit or condition state certifications based on policy disagreements;

[iii] urges the Commission to recognize the distinction between the absence of state regulation and disagreement with state regulation, and to limit any assertion of federal jurisdiction to

circumstances where a true regulatory gap exists consistent with the statutory framework; *and be it further*

Resolved that NARUC supports and encourages reverse-preemption states to exercise their authority to advance broadband deployment by adopting and enforcing rules and policies that ensure access to poles at just and reasonable rates, *and be it further*

Resolved that NARUC supports voluntary and collaborative efforts to improve transparency, coordination, and best-practice sharing among states, the Commission, utilities, and communications providers, provided that such efforts remain non-binding and do not undermine state authority.

Passed by the Committee on Telecommunications

Adopted by the NARUC Board of Directors on July 22, 2026

WC – 1 Resolution Urging Establishment of a Permanent Federal Water Affordability Program

Whereas the affordability of water and wastewater services is a critical issue facing low-income consumers across the country;

Whereas the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, in its *2024 Water Affordability Needs Assessment*, estimated that “between 12.1 million and 19.2 million households throughout the United States lack affordable access to water services”¹;

Whereas in a Resolution on Water Equity adopted in February 2022, the National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners (NARUC) observed that “helping struggling customers pay their water and wastewater bills takes the combined effort of utilities, regulators, policy leaders, and communities” and listed several ways in which states could address water affordability, including via the establishment of rate-funded assistance programs²;

Whereas the American Water Works Association (AWWA) has observed a significant gap between what water and wastewater utilities are on pace to invest in their systems and what is needed to meet projected service requirements, estimating that the annual funding gap is \$56.6 billion and that, “Over the next 25 years (2026–2050), total drinking water infrastructure needs are projected at \$2.1–\$2.4 trillion (2025 dollars)”³;

Whereas AWWA noted that, “If communities rely exclusively on revenue from water bills to close the funding gap, average annual household drinking water bills would rise from \$429 in 2025 to \$969 by 2050 (2025 dollars) — more than doubling in real terms”⁴;

Whereas rising water and wastewater operating costs may disproportionately impact low-income customers in the form of higher rates;

Whereas water and wastewater utilities provide service to a significant amount of consumers in the U.S. In total, 148,000 water systems, both public and private, serve 90% of U.S. consumers⁵;

Whereas state regulatory commissions have successfully leveraged their oversight of regulated water and wastewater utilities to provide meaningful support to low-income water and wastewater customers struggling to afford service, but there is a lack of consistency in the availability of support for low-income customers who cannot afford to pay their water and/or wastewater bills each month⁵;

Whereas public utility commissions in states such as California, New Jersey, and Pennsylvania, among many others, have worked with private regulated water and wastewater utilities, which collectively serve more than 10 percent of the U.S. population, to establish a variety of customer assistance programs and other initiatives aimed at providing low-income customers with financial support to offset monthly water and wastewater bills,

¹ <https://www.epa.gov/system/files/documents/2024-12/water-affordability-needs-assessment.pdf>

² <https://pubs.naruc.org/pub/281465E0-1866-DAAC-99FB-F211A3BA4AAF>

³ www.awwa.org/wp-content/uploads/Beyond-the-Replacement-Era.pdf

⁴ www.awwa.org/wp-content/uploads/Beyond-the-Replacement-Era.pdf

⁵ <https://www.brookings.edu/articles/rethinking-regionalization-water-utilities-as-economic-development-partners/>

Whereas NARUC has long supported both state and federal initiatives aimed at addressing the affordability of water and wastewater services for low-income customers and has called for the use of federal resources to supplement state-level efforts to address water and wastewater affordability issues in a more comprehensive manner;

Whereas in a Resolution Supporting a LIHEAP-Equivalent to Assist Low-Income Drinking Water Utility Ratepayers adopted by NARUC in March 2004, NARUC first voiced its support for “the timely development of effective assistance programs for low-income ratepayers of drinking water systems”⁶;

Whereas during the COVID-19 pandemic, NARUC adopted two Resolutions, one in November 2021⁷ and the other in November 2022,⁸ calling for a permanent federal low-income household water assistance program;

Whereas in June 2021, the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, through the Administration for Children and Families’ Office of Community Services (OCS), launched the Low-Income Household Water Assistance Program (LIHWAP), leveraging \$1.1 billion in total federal appropriations;

Whereas in its November 2021 Resolution Encouraging Permanent Federal Low-Income Household Water Assistance Program, NARUC “urge[d] Congress and the Administration to support legislation, budget measures, and regulations that provide assistance to the development and deployment of permanent low-income household drinking water and wastewater assistance programs that are available to all customers regardless of utility ownership” and underscored the importance to customers of maintaining “a permanent federal low-income drinking water and wastewater assistance program should be funded at levels equivalent to other federal low-income utility assistance program”;

Whereas NARUC, in its November 2022 Resolution Calling for Permanent Annual Federal Funding for a Low-Income Household Water Assistance Program, reiterated its call for “Congress to provide permanent annual funding for a federal Low-Income Household Water Assistance Program”;

Whereas LIHWAP ended in March 2024;

Whereas in its *LIHWAP Implementation and Impact Final Report*, OCS reported that, “During the life of the program, LIHWAP served 1,535,838 households, providing them with 2.1 million services. During this period, LIHWAP prevented 923,583 disconnections, restored water services 101,687 times, and reduced 1,120,417 water bills, issuing a total of 2,145,687 water assistance benefits to households in need. The LIHWAP grant recipient annual reports showed that benefits went to households that needed them the most; 56 percent of households served had vulnerable population members, and 59 percent of households had incomes at or below 75 percent of the federal poverty level.”⁹;

⁶ <https://pubs.naruc.org/pub/5397D2A5-2354-D714-51B5-5F3FB8800109>

⁷ <https://pubs.naruc.org/pub/493ACAA3-1866-DAAC-99FB-D8A74D2AF5E3>

⁸ <https://pubs.naruc.org/pub/E8FB76DC-1866-DAAC-99FB-3C4A1B789F55>

⁹ <https://acf.gov/sites/default/files/documents/ocs/LIHWAP-Final-Impact-and-Implementation-Report.pdf>

Whereas resources distributed through this federal affordability program also positively impacted water and wastewater utilities by stabilizing their revenues, allowing them to continue making needed investments in their infrastructure without having to disconnect to customers¹⁰;

Whereas as LIHWAP expired, NARUC sent a letter to Congress that observed that the program “proved itself to be a successful program in getting assistance to those who need it the most,” and noted that “the need for the program has only increased”¹¹; *now, therefore be it*

Resolved that the Board of Directors of the National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners, convened at its 2026 Summer Policy Summit in Minneapolis, Minnesota, urges Congress and the Administration to

- [i] establish a permanent water affordability program and appropriate sufficient funds to, at a minimum, replicate the reach and impact of the original program;
- [ii] provide equivalent advance appropriations to ensure continual funding for the program and fully staff the oversight agency to ensure all funds are released in a timely manner; *and*
- [iii] ensure the program is informed by the prior experience with LIHWAP and includes appropriate program integrity measures to ensure assistance reaches eligible households while maintaining accountability, transparency, and public confidence; *and be it further*

Resolved that NARUC stands ready to assist Congress and the Administration in the development, establishment, and implementation of a permanent federal water affordability program.

Passed by the Committee on Water and the Committee on Consumers

Adopted by the NARUC Board of Directors on July 22, 2026

¹⁰ <https://www.washingtonexaminer.com/op-eds/4564082/lihwap-water-congress-affordability/>

¹¹ <https://pubs.naruc.org/pub/6EE7DC3B-A957-69A1-001D-1A5D74A5544F>