GRID SERVICE REQUIREMENTS ON A CHANGING GRID

NATIONAL COUNCIL ON ELECTRICITY POLICY

May 9, 2018 | Denver, CO



Transforming global energy use to create a clean, prosperous, and secure low-carbon future.

The U.S. grid is aging and a business-as-usual reinvestment would require >\$1 trillion in capital investment through 2030



- Forecasts of transmission and distribution investment needs suggest a combined \$500-600 B on network investment
- Replacing retiring generators on a like-for-like basis would require ~\$500 B through 2030



DER capabilities can be applied to reduce both capital expenditures and energy costs

| DER Application | How It's Monetized |
|--|--|
| Operating Cost Savings | Reduced peak kW demand to lower utility demand charges Electricity generation to reduce commodity costs |
| Distribution Capacity Deferral | Reduced peak demand allows for reduced capacity infrastructure (e.g., substation transformers) Power quality capabilities reduce need for dedicated infrastructure (e.g., capacitors) |
| Transmission Capacity Deferral | (Same as distribution) |
| Generation Capacity Deferral | Lower peak demand resulting in reducing generation capacity required Reduced quantity and size of backup gensets required |



New technologies are already cost competitive

Alternatives are increasingly cost-effective in terms of energy, capacity, and ancillary service provision

| Energy costs | Recent wind PPAs routinely beat energy costs from coal and gas plants (right) Solar costs are following suit Renewable energy avoids price volatility exhibited by natural gas | 80 60 40 20 |
|-----------------------|---|---|
| Capacity costs | Costs of procured DR for peak reduction is often lower than new NG peaker plants, including Northwest states (right) Significant entry of DR into RTO markets, especially where capacity payments provided | 0 2017 2022 250 200 5 150 100 200 |
| Ancillary services | Increasing participation of alternatives in ancillary service markets PJM ancillary service markets show capabilities for demand response for synchronous reserves (534 MW in 2016) and regulation (38 MW) | 50 0 2020 2025 |



AEO16 reference case

4

Sources: : US DOE, 2015 Wind Technologies Market Report; Northwest Power and Conservation Council, Seventh Power Plan; PJM, Demand Response Operations Market Activities Report: December 2016; RMI analysis.

Capacity Cost Reduction Capabilities Have Been Demonstrated by a Growing Number of Projects



5

Note: This list is not comprehensive

Distribution Case Study #1:

Brooklyn-Queens Neighborhood Program

<u>NEED</u>

- ConEd in New York is spending \$200 M on DERs to defer a \$1.2 B substation upgrade under the program
- The substation was expected to be overloaded by 69 MW by 2018 for 40–48 hours per year in the summer

SOLUTION

- Including EE upgrades, PV systems, demand response and management systems, storage systems, and microgrids.
- Program to provide 52 MW peak drop
 - 41 MW from DERs
 - 11 MW non-traditional utility-side projects
- Also includes 17 MW of traditional infrastructure investment

COST SAVINGS

- The project will save \$1.0 B in costs if the substation is completely obviated.
- If simply deferred until 2026, the savings will be \$300 M.





Distribution Case Study #2:

Xcel Energy Colorado Non-wires Alternative Project

<u>NEED</u>

- Load growth is expected to begin exceeding substation capacity of 16.9 MVA in 2023, growing to a 10% overload by 2027
- The conventional solution would be a transformer upgrade costing \$1.9 M

SOLUTION

- Xcel's program will deliver 2 MVA of peak reduction using DER technology deployed through residential customers
- DERs used will include smart thermostats, controllable A/C switches, and efficiency upgrades (insulation, air sealing, and A/C units)

COST SAVINGS

- The project would save \$1.4 M in capital expenditures
- Net savings, including marketing and on-going participation payments, would be \$200,000





Transmission Case Study #1: **Borrego Springs Microgrid**

<u>NEED</u>

- SDG&E identified frequent outages and reliability concerns serving the small town of Borrego Springs (pop. 3,500), located at the end of a 69 kV transmission line in a remote area
- Conventional solution: add a second, parallel transmission line

SOLUTION

- SDG&E elected to build a microgrid to leverage DER capabilities to provide reliability and avoid building new transmission
- The microgrid assets include:
 - Diesel gensets (two 1.8 MW units)
 - Substation batteries (1.5 MW/4.5 MWh)
 - Distributed batteries (75 kW/150 kWh)
 - Rooftop PV (700 kW)
 - Residential DR via smart thermostats

Borrego Springs



COST SAVINGS

 The total capital cost of the microgrid was \$18 M, which was just 30% of the capital cost of a new transmission line



Transmission Case Study #2: Boothbay Non-Transmission Alternatives

<u>NEED</u>

 Central Maine Power identified grid performance violations that would require an estimated \$18 million rebuild of a 34.5 kV transmission line from Newcastle to Boothbay Harbor

SOLUTION

- A non-transmission alternative was created to provide 2 MW of peak load relief
- The program included:
 - 500 kW of battery storage
 - 500 kW of diesel back-up
 - 308 kW of PV
 - 243 kW of energy efficiency upgrades
 - 254 kW of demand response

COST SAVINGS

 The net cost was less than 1/3 of the conventional transmission project, saving \$18.7 M over a tenyear life





Change is possible

Studies show that power quality and grid stability will not necessarily suffer in a high renewable future



MN Renewable Energy Integration and Transmission Study, GE Energy Consulting, 2014

- 40% variable generation can be integrated onto Minnesota grid without loss of reliability
- Assumes new wind and PV provide regulation, reactive support and have zero-voltage ride through capability
- Further analysis required to evaluate 50% penetration



Western Wind and Solar Integration Study, NREL, 2015

- Western Interconnection can withstand loss of largest generators (N-1-1) under 50% renewable penetration (Phase 3 study)
- Local dynamic stability can be maintained if renewables are modeled to have advanced controls and inverter features (Phase 3A study)



Advanced Grid-Friendly Controls Demonstration Projects, CAISO, ERCOT, FirstSolar, NREL, 2016/17

- Recent field testing of utility-scale PV with advanced inverters to provide power quality and network stability services
- 300 MW plant in California, 20 MW plants in Texas and Puerto Rico
- High performance for services including automatic generation control (AGC) and frequency regulation, droop response, and reactive power/voltage/power factor controls



New technologies can provide most or all stability services

Large-scale, fossil-fueled synchronous generators have traditionally provided the majority of these services

| | | Synchronous | | | | | | | Inverter-Based | | | | | Demand Response | |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|--------------|------------------------------|-------|---------------------------------------|--------------|---|-------------------|------------------------|--|------------|---------------------------|--|
| | | Coal | Gas– Simple Cycle | Gas– CCGT | Nuclear | Hydro | Synchrono Condense | us r Wind | Centralized PV | Distributed PV | Centralized Storage | Distributed Storage | Industrial | Small / Aggregate d | |
| Volt/Var | | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | |
| Short Circuit Contribution | | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | Inertial Response | 4 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | |
| Support | Primary Frequency Response | 3 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 2 | |
| ency | Regulation | 3 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 2 | |
| Frequ | Load Following | 1 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | |
| | Spinning Reserve | 2 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | |
| Short-term availability | | 4 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | |
| Lo av | ng-term ailability | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | |
| Bla | ack Start | 1 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 0 | | 1 | 2 | | 3 | 4 | | 4 | | 4 | | | 4 | | |
| No service provision | | Partial service provision | | | Full service provision co | | Demonstrated in commercial deployment | | Demonstrated in pilots but De faces market/regulatory barriers | | | monstrated in limited trial or lab settings | | | |

Source: Contributions of Supply and Demand Resources to Required Power System Reliability Services, EPRI 2015; RMI Analysis

New technologies can provide most or all stability services

Portfolios of alternative resources can provide synthetic replacement of synchronous resources to enable low-inertia grids in the future

| | | Synchronous | | | | | | | Inverter-Based | | | | | Demand Response | |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------|------------------------------|-------|---------------------------------------|--------------|---|-------------------|------------------------|--|------------|---------------------------|--|
| | | Coal | Gas– Simple Cycle | Gas– CCGT | Nuclear | Hydro | Synchrono Condense | us r Wind | Centralized PV | Distributed PV | Centralized Storage | Distributed Storage | Industrial | Small / Aggregate d | |
| Volt/Var | | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | |
| Short Circuit Contribution | | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | Inertial Response | 4 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | |
| Support | Primary Frequency Response | 3 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 2 | |
| ency | Regulation | 3 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 2 | |
| Freque | Load Following | 1 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | |
| | Spinning Reserve | 2 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | |
| Short-term availability | | 4 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | |
| Long-term availability | | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | |
| Bla | ack Start | 1 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 0 | | 1 | 2 | | 3 | 4 | | 4 | | 4 | | | 4 | | |
| No service provision | | Partial service provision | | | Full service provision co | | Demonstrated in commercial deployment | | Demonstrated in pilots but De faces market/regulatory barriers | | | monstrated in limited trial or lab settings | | | |

Source: Contributions of Supply and Demand Resources to Required Power System Reliability Services, EPRI 2015; RMI Analysis



Distributed Capabilities versus Distributed Control

A system with enhanced DER capabilities will likely require distributed approaches to system control for maximum efficiency

Distribution-level energy management approaches (from Kok and Widegren, 2016)





The Distributed Technology Ecosystem of the future



Adapted from "Electric Grid Transactions Modeling with DER-CAM", Michael Stadler, Microgrid Reearch Group, LBNL, June 2015



Key questions to consider today: How can we...

1. Understand and test the capabilities of new technologies to provide grid services?

- Take advantage of resources that are coming online anyway
- Start small and learn by doing, but plan for scale

2. Update market rules to leverage new capabilities

- Encourage aggregation to allow distributed resources to compete fairly
- Revisit "baseline" rules to accommodate new capabilities of demand response

3. Integrate new service capabilities into long-term planning?

- Plan for long-term system evolution, rather than 1:1 replacements of current assets
- Recognize value provided by smaller, modular resources, versus major projects



THANK YOU

www.rmi.org lguccione@rmi.org



Transforming global energy use to create a clean, prosperous, and secure low-carbon future.