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WHAT IS NARUC

• The National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners (NARUC) is a non-profit organization founded in 1889.

• Our Members are the state regulatory Commissioners in all 50 states & the territories. FERC & FCC Commissioners are also members. NARUC has Associate Members in over 20 other countries.

• NARUC member agencies regulate electricity, natural gas, telecommunications, and water utilities.
WHAT IS NARUC’S CENTER FOR PARTNERSHIPS AND INNOVATION?

• Grant-funded team dedicated to providing technical assistance to members.
• CPI identifies emerging challenges and connects state commissions with expertise and strategies.
• CPI builds relationships, develops resources, and delivers trainings.

NARUC CPI Topical Areas
- Energy Infrastructure & Technology Modernization
- Electricity System Transition
- Critical Infrastructure, Cybersecurity, Resilience
- Emerging Issues

www.NARUC.org/CPI-1
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Overview

- Changing context for EE investments
  - Historically utility, ratepayer benefits
  - Shifting more to GHG emission reduction value

- Important questions in the changing context:
  - Are we doing as much as we should?
  - Are the climate benefits properly valued (and are the relative values shifting)?
  - Are utility B/C reviews narrowing the pipeline for EE investments?

- Analysis
  - Review EE with a focus on climate metrics
    - Time value of GHG emission reductions
    - NPV of damages from climate change avoided due to EE programs
  - How does this affect relative importance of EE program features (e.g. annual savings versus lifetime savings)?
  - Case study: structural energy efficiency (SEE), behavioral energy efficiency (BEE) – is there a difference from a climate policy perspective?

- Implications for utility and commission review of EE
Changing Context

- **Utility Context:** Utility, Ratepayer Focus
  - Reduces generation, transmission and distribution costs
  - Saves consumers money
  - Ancillary: emission reduction benefits
  - *invest up to the point of positive utility, ratepayer returns*

- **Emerging Context:** Climate Change, GHG Mandates
  - State laws, binding GHG emission reduction requirements
  - Urgent need for progress; increasing risks of failure to rein in climate change, risk of passing dangerous thresholds (tipping points)
  - Aggressive mandates, fast timelines
  - Continued increase in stringency with passage of new laws, regulations
  - *invest up to the point that net carbon abatement cost is less than the next option on the carbon abatement supply curve*
Why Shift Perspectives on EE?

- **Timing Matters**
  - GHG standards are aggressive
  - Will not be easy/cheap
  - States don’t yet know how to achieve targets
  - Running out of time from climate and policy perspectives

- **In this context, EE stands out**
  - EE is a low-cost way to achieve carbon reductions
  - EE is often the lowest cost way to achieve reductions
  - EE has been key to deriving economic benefits from carbon pricing programs (e.g., RGGI)
  - EE may magnify in importance for reliable power system management
    - Electrification: increase power sector demand and emissions (countering decarbonization)
    - The world of electricity demand and pricing could get stranger – EE can help
  - Some programs can achieve GHG emission reductions sooner and faster than others
Case Study

- Structural programs are better from climate perspective, right?
  - Long-lived measures
  - Deep reductions per customer
- Not necessarily…
  - Behavioral programs can achieve GHG reductions faster, at lower cost
  - Smaller savings per customer, shorter measure life, but…
  - Many more customers, repeatable, and highly customizable – may be tailored to savings and climate policy goals
  - Can be used to accelerate participation in structural programs
- What does the analysis show?
  - All EE programs are exceptional and needed from a climate change and climate policy perspective
  - BEE programs provide particularly high value due to flexibility and timeliness

Case Study

- Speed at which BEE programs can be rolled out position them for early action
- Allows for more equitable access to energy savings
- Customers can be reached repeatedly, with tailored and/or changing message depending on climate policy needs
- Ease of customer contact and BEE program administration drives differences from climate change perspective (time value of GHG emission reductions captured through NPV of avoided damages)
- Studies have shown “uplift” – the ability of BEE programs to enhance participation in SEE programs, adding to climate policy value
- Concerted effort to tailor BEE to achieve this result could amplify uplift impacts
Recommendations

- Pursue all cost-effective energy efficiency
- Recognize the time value of GHG reductions, from climate change (avoided damages) and policy (aggressive timeframe) perspectives
- Integrate GHG-focused metrics for evaluating the design, budgeting and performance of utility EE programs
  - Ability to achieve reductions in GHG emissions sooner rather than later
  - Present value of reductions in damages due to climate change
- Maintain annual energy savings targets
- Design performance incentives that simultaneously maximize cost effective savings and GHG benefits
- Promote more aggressive joint design and administration of BEE and SEE programs
Wrap up

- The context for EE is changing, and must change
- The pace and depth of required reductions in GHGs is accelerating, based on science and targets encoded in state law and policies
- EE stands out as a leading tool for state action
- Urgency of achieving GHG reductions warrants a rapid change of focus in EE program assessment
  - Challenge for commissions - evidence-based decision making tied to economic principles and legal/regulatory precedent will deter fast action
  - But EE assessments need to better reflect the value of EE from a climate perspective as the primary goal, or at least on a par with utility and consumer value
  - Time is of the essence
NARUC Innovation Webinar series

Hosted one Thursday each month from 3:00 p.m. to 4:00 p.m. ET

• Emerging Possibilities for Bulk Energy Storage
  
  October 22, 2020 | 3:00 - 4:00 pm Eastern

• Where the Wind Blows: Offshore Wind Outlook for State Regulators
  
  November 19, 2020 | 3:00 – 4:00 pm Eastern

Register at: https://www.naruc.org/cpi-1/emerging-issues/innovation-webinars/

NARUC thanks the U.S. Department of Energy for support for this series.
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