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About Clean Air Task Force

- Non-profit organization founded in 1996 dedicated to reducing atmospheric pollution through research, advocacy and private sector collaboration.

http://www.catf.us

www.fossiltransition.org
About Fossil Transition Project

- Work to transition fossil fuels to cleaner technology. We focus on several areas:
  - **CCUS**: EOR to speed CCS development (“U’ stands for utilization)
  - **Policy**: Incentives for early CCS deployment and CO₂ limits on sources
  - **Partnerships**: To speed projects (especially US and China)
  - **Communication**: Shape public discourse to focus on what really counts
  - **Projects**: Support coal and gas projects that advance lower emissions
CATF examined why EPA’s Clean Power Plan (CPP) model runs didn’t build any CCS. The result seemed unusual for two reasons:

- EPA model allowed EOR in many states (yellow), and
- The rule’s greatest CO₂ reductions occur in EOR states like Texas.
CATF Modeling of CPP Rule

- As we reviewed EPA’s CCS assumptions, we found key problems: EOR price was too low, capture options were limited, pipeline costs were too high.

- CATF retained Charles River Associates (CRA) to conduct modeling of the CPP using North American Electricity and Environmental Model (NEEM) model. The model emphasized three states with EOR that CATF deemed to be “CCS Ready”.
  - Texas
  - Oklahoma
  - Mississippi
The North American Electricity and Environmental Model (NEEM) is one of the leading models for assessing the impacts of energy and environmental policy on electricity markets.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inputs</th>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Forecasts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>New Technology Cost and Performance</strong>&lt;br&gt;• New resources (supply-and demand-side)&lt;br&gt;• Emission control retrofit costs</td>
<td>NEEM Model: A linear programming model that simulates economic dispatch and minimizes the present value of incremental costs to the electric sector while meeting demand and complying with environmental limits.&lt;br&gt;• Maximizes consumer surplus plus producer surplus&lt;br&gt;• Has a 45-year horizon (with end-effect modeling to 2070)&lt;br&gt;• Uses a 20 period load duration curve; expandable to 8760 hours&lt;br&gt;• Simulates 28 NERC regions/sub-regions</td>
<td><strong>New Resources by Region</strong>&lt;br&gt;<strong>Retirement/Mothball Decisions</strong>&lt;br&gt;<strong>Emissions and Allowance Prices</strong>&lt;br&gt;<strong>Emission Control Retrofits</strong>&lt;br&gt;<strong>Wholesale regional on- and off-peak electricity prices</strong>&lt;br&gt;<strong>Coal prices reflecting supply and demand by coal type</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Existing Unit Data</strong>&lt;br&gt;• Fossil, Nuclear, Renewable</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fuel Prices</strong>&lt;br&gt;• Gas and oil prices from MRN and CRA’s Gas Model&lt;br&gt;• Coal supply curves from the Coal Supply Model</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Electricity Demand</strong>&lt;br&gt;• Consistent with MRN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Environmental Scenarios</strong>&lt;br&gt;• Emissions caps&lt;br&gt;• Carbon Tax&lt;br&gt;• RPS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Transmission</strong>&lt;br&gt;• Limits between regions&lt;br&gt;• Wheeling charges</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CATF Modeling Approach

1. Base Case: Recreated EPA Policy Case in NEEM
2. Test Case: Changed only CCS assumptions in Base Case (World 1)
3. Sensitivities: Examine alternative assumptions (Worlds 2-6)

Comparison of CCS Modeling Assumptions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>EPA (IPM)</th>
<th>CATF (NEEM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Max CO2 Price for EOR</td>
<td>Under $15/short ton CO₂</td>
<td>$20-$35/short ton CO₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retrofit Options</td>
<td>Coal only, 90% capture, &gt;400 MW</td>
<td>Coal and gas, 50% and 90%, all sizes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport of CO₂ in TX</td>
<td>$4.50-$10.50/short ton</td>
<td>$.40 to $6.70/ short ton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative Capture Costs</td>
<td>CATF more expensive than EPA for small units, less expensive for large.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Results

• 97 million short tons of CO₂ captured per year by 2030 from 10 GW of coal retrofits.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Retrofit # of Units</th>
<th>Retrofit MW</th>
<th>New Builds # of Units</th>
<th>New Builds MW</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Texas</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6,469</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oklahoma</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1,241</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mississippi</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rest of USA</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2,376</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>984</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Implications

- 97 million short tons/year of CO$_2$ reductions (85 million metric tons/year) in test case is about 15% of the national 111(d) CO$_2$ reductions in 2030.

- By comparison, EPA estimates:
  - Building Blocks 3 and 4 account for 232 million tons/yr.
  - Heat Rate Improvements (HRI) account for 97 million metric tons/yr.
  - Rule will drive 10 GW of non-hydro renewables.

- CCS accounts for a key compliance strategy for Texas and Oklahoma where CCS will account for more than 50% and 85% respectively of CO$_2$ reductions under 111(d).
Sensitivity Runs For Alternative Assumptions
CO$_2$ Reductions per Year in 2030
(Millions of Short Tons)
Conclusions

- CPP will drive an important amount of CCS. About 10 GW of retrofits, primarily in states with existing EOR under conditions CATF believes are representative of the period 2020 to 2030.

- CCS will be an important compliance pathway for some states.

- The amount of CCS retrofits will contribute to technology learning curves that drive down CCS costs.

- Even under scenarios of lower oil prices and higher capture costs, CCS will still play an important CPP compliance role.
Appendices
There are small but meaningful decreases in total system costs in the RTOs encompassing Texas and Oklahoma, where CCS pops up

**Existing**
- Fuel
- FOM and VOM
- Fuel switching Annualized Capital, FOM, and VOM
- Retrofit Annualized Capital, FOM, and VOM
- CO₂ Storage and Transport
- Refurbishment

**New**
- Above “Existing” components
- New Build Annualized Capital

**Net Off-System Purchases**
- Purchases from Other Regions
- Wheeling Costs
- Sales to Other NEEM Regions

= TOTAL SYSTEM COSTS

- More favorable CCS economics allow more existing coal to survive and in turn forestall new gas-fired combined-cycle builds
- In present value (2014-2054) terms, total system costs in
  - SPP_S decrease by $5.1 billion, or 2.4%
  - ERCOT decrease by $2.4 billion, or 0.5%
2025 Dispatch Costs for Particular Texas Coal/Gas Units

- Coal with CCS Using EOR-Equipped Permian CO2 Storage
- Coal without CCS
- New NGCC
- Existing NGCC
- Coal with CCS Using DSF CO2 Storage

(2014$/MWh)