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Industrial Energy Consumers of America

- The Industrial Energy Consumers of America is an association of leading non-partisan manufacturing companies with $1 trillion in annual sales. More than 1.2 million employees.
- Focused exclusively on availability, use and cost of energy, power.
- IECA membership represents a diverse set of mostly energy-intensive industries including: steel, iron ore, aluminum, commodity and specialty chemicals, fertilizer, paper, refining, food processing, glass, cement and plastics.
Manufacturing is Important to U.S. Economy

- Contributed $2.09 trillion to the economy, up from $1.73 trillion in 2013.
- 12.0 percent of GDP.
- Supports 17.6 million jobs, one in six private sector jobs (12 million direct or 9 percent).
Manufacturing’s Multiplier Effect
For every one dollar – returns $1.35 in indirect economic activity

Source: Bureau of Economic Analysis, 2010 Annual Input-Output Tables
A Success Story: Industrial Energy Consumption has been Relatively Flat for 44 Years

Source: Energy Information Administration, AEO 2014
A Success Story: Industrial Energy Intensity Decreased by 45.5% Since 1987

Source: Energy Information Administration, Bureau of Economic Analysis
A Success Story: Industrial Sector – Only Sector with Lower CO2 Emissions than 1973

Source: Energy Information Administration
Manufacturing Use of Energy

- 26% of total U.S. electricity
- 29% of total U.S. natural gas
- 5% of total U.S. coal

Energy-Intensive Trade-Exposed (EITE) industries consume 82% of the energy of the entire manufacturing sector!

Source: Energy Information Administration
The Energy-Intensive Industrial Sector is Unique

- The only sector that requires globally competitive energy.
- Electricity and natural gas intensive.
- Compete globally and in an environment of unfair competition / Other countries subsidize energy and manufacturing.
- Unlike other sectors – shift production or relocate facilities to be competitive.
# Energy Price Sensitive Products are Essential for Economic Growth

## Building Block Industries
- Chemicals
- Plastics
- Fertilizer
- Glass / ceramics
- Steel
- Aluminum
- Pulp and Paper
- Cement
- Food Processing

## Convert to

## Commercial & Consumer Products
- Food Production
- Automobiles
- Consumer goods
- Construction
- Medical Supplies
- Energy Production
- Appliances
- Household products
- Defense industries
- Telecommunication
Examples of Energy Intensity
(Small Energy Price Increases Have Large Competitive Impacts)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sector</th>
<th>% of Operating Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aluminum</td>
<td>30-35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recycled Steel</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integrated Steel</td>
<td>85% (energy and raw materials)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plastics</td>
<td>80% (feedstock)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemicals</td>
<td>Varies greatly 15-20% (fuel only)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paper</td>
<td>10-20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glass</td>
<td>20-25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fertilizer</td>
<td>80% (feedstock)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food Processing</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cement</td>
<td>25-35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refining</td>
<td>15-20% (fuel only)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Industrial Electricity Consumption
Demand has decreased by 10.0% from 2000 to 2014

Source: Energy Information Administration
Industrial Electricity Demand to Increase 17.8% by 2025

Source: Energy Information Administration, AEO 2014
Industrial Electricity Prices
(2013-2020 +21% with GHG Rule)

- EPA GHG rule: EPA cost estimate – (industrial’s portion)
  $2.2 B/yr, a 3.3% increase.
- (Ozone rule impact not included.)

Source: Energy Information Administration, AEO 2014, Environmental Protection Agency
Example of Industrial Leakage: When Natural Gas Prices Increased, Manufacturing Jobs Decreased
(Natural Gas Prices Increased 209% from 1999 to 2008, or 23% a year)

Source: Energy Information Administration, Bureau of Labor Statistics
Energy Prices Significantly Contributed to the Loss of 5.3 Million Manufacturing Jobs (-31%)

• 54,905 Facilities Lost (Since 2011)
• Average Loss of $441,667 per Year: 2000-2010
• Jobs created from 2010-2014: 524,248

Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics
Industrial Natural Gas Delivered Price to Increase 71% by (2013-2025)

Source: Energy Information Administration, AEO 2014
Henry Hub Natural Gas Price to Increase 97% with EPA GHG Rule (2013-2025)

Source: Energy Information Administration, AEO 2014, EPA forecasts up to 12% increase
Industrial Perspectives on EPA’s Clean Power Plan
Industrial Perspective

- A major stakeholder. Will pay up to a third of the costs of implementation.
- Urge states to partner with industrials.
- Focus is on cost-effective implementation and policy that will support job creation.
- Allocation of costs is important. Allocation by volume negatively impacts high load factor industrial customers.
If State Electricity Prices Rise...

- Industrial “GHG leakage” will occur.
- Industrials will shift production to locations in other states, reducing load, shifting GHG emissions to other states, and increasing electric rates for the remaining electric consumers.
- If industrials cannot be competitive operating in the U.S., their offshore competitors will prevail.
  
  ➢ “A lose-lose for the economy and the environment.”
Industrial Perspectives on the CPP

- State SIP Filing Timing:
  - Urge EPA to not require States to file SIPs until after judicial review.
  - Consumers will get stuck with all of the costs, including stranded costs.
  - Urge EPA to develop federal model rules for offset credits, energy efficiency, and renewable energy...before you file SIP.
Industrial Perspectives on the CPP

- **CO₂ Reduction Targets:**
  - Set reduction target inside-the-fence line, but use outside-the-fence line reduction options.
  - Outside-the-fence line reduction options should be voluntary, not mandatory.
  - Support 2005 baseline year.

- **Energy Efficiency:**
  - Opportunity is in res/comm buildings, not industrial EE.
  - Industrials must be able to opt-out and maintain ownership of EE-based reductions (RECs).
  - Use attainable EE assumptions (cost and availability).
Industrial Perspectives on the CPP

- Protect Industry & Jobs:
  - CPP must not set precedent for regulating industrial GHG emissions.
  - Include a cost safety valve.
  - Include a reliability safety valve.
  - Support ratable emission rates, allows for economic growth.
  - Provide credit for actions already taken.
  - Consider CHP/WHP as a compliance option.
  - Exclude industrial CHP/WHP emissions from regulation under CPP.
Industrial Perspectives on the CPP

- **Protect Jobs:**
  - **Avoid Leakage**
    - Before finalizing SIPs, complete industrial GHG leakage study to understand impact to the state economy, jobs, and GHG emissions.
    - *Seek to ensure that imported products share at least the same economic pain from CPP costs.*
  
- **Reduce Cost**
  - Eliminate the 2020 interim target.
  - More time will reduce costs, especially stranded costs.
Energy Efficiency
Industrial Sector Has Best Record on Energy Intensity

- EIA data confirms that the industrial sector has perhaps the best record on energy intensity reduction – 45.5% reduction since 1987. Reasons for this include:
  - Energy-intensive industries are often trade exposed.
  - Competition drives energy efficiency improvements
  - Must continuously analyze how to cost-effectively reduce energy usage
  - Most have professional energy, engineering, and finance staff.
  - All are experts on their processes and equipment.
  - And most have access to capital funds for energy reduction projects that meet company-specific timing, process, and ROI requirements.
  - Most low-hanging fruit harvested long ago.
Industrial Energy Efficiency
 Policy

- Must have ability to opt-out of utility rate programs including allocation of program costs.
- Oppose mandates that would require facilities to certify as, for example, ISO 50001. (Costs $200,000 - $300,000 per facility or more.)
- Support voluntary programs like EPA Industrial Energy Star Program.
Buildings Provide Best Opportunity for Energy Efficiency

- Buildings consume 41% of our nation’s energy. 22% commercial; 19% residential. (EIA)
- U.S. buildings is largest consumer of electricity – 71%. (EIA)
- Accounts for over 40% of U.S. GHGs. (EIA)
- McKinsey study concluded that building insulation is single most cost-effective solution to reduce GHGs.
Residential Energy Efficiency Improvement Has Lagged

- Tens of millions of energy inefficient existing homes.
- New construction
  - Codes have advanced slowly and are not consistently adopted or enforced.
  - Split incentive: home builders have a tendency to reduce upfront purchase price by increasing home buyers’ energy operating expenses.

![Diagram showing the path to ZNE](image)
Address Issues to Harvest Residential EE Opportunity

- Implement better education/outreach.
- Provide better funding.
  - Utility residential ratepayer dollars under new utility models
  - Air quality improvement programs
- Deploy new products and EE upgrade installation approaches that increase contractor productivity, reduce homeowner inertia.
- Clarify ownership of energy savings benefits, especially of utility emissions reductions.
- Ensure effective integration of non-utility energy efficiency contractors and projects into CPP compliance programs.
Demand Response
Demand Response Programs? What Do We Mean?

IECA companies participate in all forms of Demand Response programs across the country include energy, capacity and ancillary services:

1. Interruptible contracts or tariffs (stand by credit)
2. Peak shaving (reduce demand charges)
3. Peak Avoidance (reduce Capacity and/or Transmission obligation)
4. Economic Demand Response (credit/avoided cost for curtailing during high prices)
5. Load Shifting (reduced costs for operating during off peak periods)
6. Dispatchable Load/Synchronized Reserve (market-based programs)
7. Regulation Service
8. Self-Generation
Demand Response is Not New!

- Interruptible Contracts (Historically)
  - Now a Tariff, a Contract or a Market-based solution.

- Utilities use Interruptible Contracts for energy, capacity, emergency, transmission congestion, regulation and spinning reserve.
  - Avoid building expensive new generation that may only be required for a few hours each year.
  - Emergency, Reliability or Economics drive notice and compensation.
  - Utilities “call” the curtailment and provide energy payments or capacity credits to participants roughly equal to the utilities long-range replacement capacity costs.

- Today - In the deregulated environment the customers have been left to fend for themselves in the stakeholder environment to promote programs to replace or expand on the old contracts.
Market Solutions for DR are Relatively New with Enhanced Results

Potential benefits of demand response:

- Increased competition
- Operational savings
- Lower market prices
- Reduced price volatility
- Improved grid reliability
- Improved customer options
- Providing ancillary services
- Positive environmental benefits

- Q1 2014 demonstrated the value that DR brings
- Curtailing consumption is more reliable than starting production
Demand Response During Polar Vortex

Notes:
1. DR events dispatched during non-compliance period.
2. Expected Energy Load Reductions (MW) - CSP reported estimate based on current market rule.
3. MW value is average hourly load reduction for non-ramp in hours.
Economic DR “Program” Benefits

- **Competition:**
  - DA DR competes directly with generator offers (above the threshold) and results in lower LMP for all customers.

- **System Planning:**
  - DA DR provides a benefit to RTO/ISO in system planning.
  - ISOs can better forecast load for the following day/hours.

- **Cost Reduction:**
  - DR participant compensation is a fraction of the dollars saved.
Regulation and Sync Reserve

- Dispatchable Load and Regulation Services are exactly that “Service.”
  - Bids and Offers in the schedule every day, competing in each hour against generation.
  - Following dispatch instructions according to the way we offered our load to the market.
  - These are dispatchable resources that are reliable and can be counted on by the market.
NARUC’s questions:

1. Is it preferable to work with an aggregator or directly with a utility?

2. How does a company decide to participate? What would cause a company to stop participating?

3. When they are called upon to interrupt, how do they comply? (by shutting down early? Switching to a diesel generator?)

4. What do you think of Order 745 and the prospect of all DR being state jurisdictional?

5. IECA recommendations.
Is it Preferable to Work with an Aggregator or Directly with a Utility?

- **Best to do it yourself.**
  - For large, sophisticated manufacturers, be your own Curtailment Service Provider.

- **Retain the value.**
  - Aggregators and utilities both extract a portion of the value stream anywhere from 5% to 40%.

- **Maintain independence.**
  - Avoid being served by those who own generation.

- **Aggregators.**
  - Can work with res/com to emulate a larger load.
How Does a Company Decide to Participate? What Would Cause a Company to Stop Participating?

- **Economic Value**
  - Must exceed the combination of direct costs, opportunity costs and risk.

- **Direct Cost**
  - Production loss resulting from the curtailment can be made up in a lower cost hour or *not at all*.
  - Industrial operations are built to run 7X24, interruptions are not cost-effective without appropriate compensation.
  - Participate takes focus off of making widgets and involves energy managers, plant managers, and the Senior Management Team.

- **Risk**
  - The demand responder must take on the *start-up risk* of complex operations.
  - The cost implications of providing the demand response are significant and real and requires some offsetting value.
When They are Called Upon to Interrupt, How Do They Comply?

- **Myth**
  - Customers who desire to only consume electricity below certain thresholds can price-watch and be successful – “it’s easy”.

- **Reality**
  - Real time prices fluctuate every 5 minutes, and the actual price isn’t known until after the energy is consumed.
    - Thereby creating risk & uncertainty for operational and DR decisions.
      - A price spike late in a 12 interval cycle is unavoidable.
  - Industrial companies, are not energy companies, although sometimes our resources have no choice but to focus on energy.

- **Efficiency Impact**
  - 5 minute dispatch might be most efficient for generation, but it has the opposite effect on load.
  - This is a prime example of why DR needs to be integrated into the market.
Why Structured DR vs. Price Response?

- **Schedule** - Customers can determine on a Day Ahead basis whether it is economic to run.
- **Efficient Dispatch** - ISO dispatch solves which hours the facility must respond.
- **End-user efficiency**
  - Enables the plant to make staffing and maintenance decisions
  - Minimizes wear and tear on equipment
  - Ensures recovery of the costs of curtailing during high priced periods
- **Grid reliability** - provides EGU planning certainty to the ISO.
- **Maximizes DR** - Increases load reduction availability thereby providing additional system and cost reduction benefits to all customers.
- **Reduces cost** - The costs are reduced for those that don’t respond (homeowners) – totally in the public interest.
Cost of Compliance

- **Bidding strategy**
  - Optimization of power market vs widget market economics.
  - Strike Price - The plants determine strike prices for the industrial facility and curtail operations when certain conditions are met.
  - This is often an iterative process depending on the # of hours curtailed, inventory, plant conditions, etc.

- **Market Monitoring**
  - Software is utilized (internal/external) and public information on ISO websites is monitored for market prices, market demand, weather, generator outages, emergency messages.
Cost of Compliance

• **Market Interface**
  - Event notification, offer submissions, bill reconciliation, performance evaluation.

• **Operator Interface and Control**
  - PLC controlled response, operator training, overrides for safety and environment.

• **Plant procedures and Manuals**
  - Developed and training for curtailment is conducted.

• **Key Performance Indicators**
  - Developed and plant staff and operators are measured on how well they “manage” our participation in the market.
Monitoring Internal/External

Hourly Prices

PJM 6 Day Forecast
Compliance Monitoring

Response Measurement

- $A = (T1 - 1)$
- $B = T1$
- $C = (T1 + 10)$
- $D = T2$
- $E = (T2 + 10)$
- $F = (T2 + 11)$
What Do You Think of Order 745 and the Prospect of All DR Being State Jurisdictional?

“The Court argued, demand response is not actually a source of generation; it does not involve a direct sale of energy to the wholesale markets by consumers, who "participate' only by declining to act." Rather, consumers engaging in demand response were being given preferential treatment by the FERC, being paid the LMP and saving on the avoided cost of electricity. This, the court ruled, overcompensates demand response.”

- This couldn’t be further from reality. As we have discussed, industrial participation in DR is “active” participation.
IECA Position on 745 Issue

- Large industrial customers support FERC Order 745.
- Order 745 finally achieved MW=NW (equal pay for equal work).
- Dr. Alfred E. Kahn recognized in his affidavits in that rulemaking, “full LMP” compensation for demand response was appropriate.
- Full LMP also reflects the marginal value to the system operator of the demand response that is being provided.
- IECA agrees with the PJM and MISO industrial groups, which have been actively participating in the D.C. Circuit and now U.S. Supreme Court appellate litigation.

Moving demand response activity to the states raises several challenging issues:

- Compensation - Where do states get the funding?
- State Coordination – Inconsistent policy across RTOs
- Legal barrier? - Circuit Court decisions on New Jersey LCAPP law?
We Agree With FERC’s 745 Appeal on the Following Points

- FERC's rule is narrowly defined and only affects demand response in the wholesale market, which affects wholesale rates.
- Demand response must have a "net benefit" for retail customers.
- States, can already opt out of providing any wholesale demand response to the regional markets. None have done so.
- Demand response is already helping keep the nation's electricity supply reliable. It is already an integral part of system planning.
- As it stands, the ruling would "potentially invalidat[e] all demand response participation at any compensation level (not just full locational marginal price) in any wholesale market (energy, capacity or ancillary services)," FERC said.
- In addition:
  - Taking DR to the retail market and having the state run them will only complicate the issue while trying to emulate the same programs.
  - The Maryland PUC agreed, stating: "To separate demand response from market participation will increase prices, confuse operations and make planning unnecessarily complex."
IECA Final Thoughts on DR

- Energy Prices and Economic Growth are inextricably linked.
- Price Mitigation Tools.
  - Energy Intensive Trade Exposed (EITE) Industries need value for their DR services.
- DA Economic Demand Response, ancillary services like sync reserve and regulation, capacity & transmission obligations on CP - work and add value and reliability today.
- Long term price signals should be aligned to promote the most DR.
  - Generates investment, training, systems, planning.
IECA DR Recommendations

- Cost allocation methodologies should send signals for efficiency use of the grid.
  - (kW vs kWh) kWh charges mutes signal for demand response and allocates more to high load factor customers (new capacity, env upgrades, RPS).
  - Pass through signals to the end-user, don’t stop at the class.
  - Demand Charge = Demand Credit
  - Coincident Peak allocation for Capacity and Transmission cost allocation.

- Policy development should be consistent across all jurisdictions to promote economic growth.
- Retention of DR programs will result in a more competitive market, lower costs for all consumers, lower emissions and increased reliability.
Thank You!
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