Tariff Design



NARUC Energy Regulatory Partnership Program

The Energy Regulatory Commission of the Republic of Macedonia and The Vermont Public Service Board

> *by* Randy Pratt Vermont Public Service Board October 26, 2004

Rate Design

The structure of prices That is, the form and periodicity of prices for a firm's goods and services Usage-Based fixed, recurring charges Installation, Hook-up and Exit Fees Simple Rate Designs Price = Rev. Req./Customers Price = Rev. Req./Sales

Objectives of Rate Design

Revenue-Related Objectives:
Rates should yield the total revenue requirement
Rates should provide stable and predictable revenues
The rates themselves should be stable and predictable

Objectives of Rate Design (continued)

Cost-Related Objectives

Rates should be set so as to promote economically-efficient consumption (static efficiency)

Rates should reflect the present and future private and social costs (and benefits) of providing service

Costs should be apportioned fairly among customers and customer classes

Objectives of Rate Design (continued)

Cost-Related Objectives (cont.) Undue Discrimination should be avoided Rates should promote innovation in supply and demand (dynamic efficiency) Practical Considerations: A rate design should be, to the extent possible, simple, understandable, acceptable to the public, and easily administered



Objectives of Rate Design (continued)

The objectives of rate design are, in effect, the objectives of regulation
 The objectives of rate design are, sometimes, in conflict with each other

Revenue-Related Issues

 Rates set should be set so as to give a regulated firm a reasonable opportunity to
 recover prudently incurred expenses, including investment, and
 to earn a fair rate of return on the remaining costs (the undepreciated portion) of its prudent investment



Revenue-Related Issues (continued)

 Rates set in this way enable a company to cover its debt service obligation, pay dividends to shareholders, and attract new capital investment

Cost-Related Issues

Will rates set at average cost per unit be economically efficient? Average cost vs. marginal cost Long run vs. short run Private financial vs. total social cost Cost of environmental damage from electricity production and delivery Who pays what costs? The principle of cost causation

The Price Signal

 The price of a good should reflect the full cost of the resources needed to produce the good.
 Long-run vs. short-run cost of production?



Cost Allocation

Based on groups of customers Customer classes designated according to the criterion adopted (value, cost, social objectives) Residential (with or without a low income or elderly segment) Commercial Industrial Street lighting *Agricultural



Allocating Costs

Include line losses
Types of Demand Measures
Individual Peak
Class Peak
Coincident Peak
Annual
Monthly
Average and Excess

Two Types of Cost Studies

- Embedded or Fully Allocated Cost Study
 - Uses capital and operating costs that have been historically embedded (spent, sunk, or invested)
 - Built on accounting cost data generated in the day-to-day operations of the utility

Incremental or Marginal Cost Study
 The cost of providing additional service
 forward-looking study of resource costs

Embedded Cost Allocation

Most simple design
\$Rev Req ÷ Number of customers = Rate, billed annually, semi-annually or quarterly
Complexities arise due to desire to distinguish between
Types and amount of service
Types of customers

Fully Allocated Embedded Costs

Advantages: Actual costs Reconciled with the revenue requirement Perceived as Fair Disadvantages: Allocating joint and common costs Does not reflect current market trends May produce inefficient prices

Categorize

What are the costs of
Generation
Transmission
Distribution
Billing
Need fairly detailed accounting for planning & rate design



Functionalize

Energy
Peak Demand
Customers

Marginal Cost Pricing

- Equal to the economic costs of providing the next increment of service
 - Long-run v. Short-term
 Advantages: forward looking,
 economic costs
 Disadvantages
 Definitions more contentious
 Reconciliation with rev. req.
 Requires forecasted demand and costs
 Potential volatility

Marginal Cost Pricing

- Promotes economic efficiency
 Exception: The problem of "second best"
- Those who cause the costs pay the costs
- No undue discrimination
- Challenge:

Will pricing at marginal cost cause the utility's to collect its revenue requirement? Will it over-collect, or under-collect?

Calculating Marginal Costs

 What is the appropriate increment of output, or margin, to measure?
 Generating capacity costs: \$/kw-yr
 Energy costs: \$/kwh
 T&D costs: \$/kw-yr
 Avoided costs

Marginal-Cost Pricing and the Revenue Requirement

- Reconciling marginal-cost prices with the revenue requirement
 - Relationship of the rev.req. (total cost) to marginal cost
- What kinds of pricing distortions are acceptable?
- Should the incremental costs of environmental damage be reflected in rates?



Cost Allocation

 Are all classes equally risky to serve?
 Cross-subsidies between classes
 Cross-subsidies within classes

Gradualism

If subsidies exist, how quickly do you try to eliminate them
Rate shock -- irate ratepayers
Impact on low-income consumers
Public Policy Consideration
Industrial development
Protection of residential consumers

Joint and Common Costs

Weakness of Embedded Costs
Unallocable Costs

Administrative and General Costs

Solutions"

Allocate in proportion to allocable
Do something reasonable
Consider other policy goals



Rate Averaging

Within rate classes

 Across geographic areas: rural vs. urban

 Rate averaging vs. subsidy vs. simplicity

Social Value of Service Pricing

Assistance to specific customer classes Residential lifeline rate Economic development/business retention rates Promote social objectives Conservation/environmental considerations Universal service

Designing Tariffs

After allocation to customer classes, the costs still must be set into tariffs
Tariff development also considers goals of the tariffs

Primary Tariff Cost Components

Customer Charge – Flat rate

Recover fixed charges
Usage component
Demand component
Interaction of these components sends price signals



Rate Forms



Usage Patterns

- Flat
- Declining Block
 - Based upon assumption that cheaper to serve large customers
 - And that marginal cost is less than average cost
 - Encourages consumption, discourages conservation, so important to ensure prices are right

Usage Patterns (cont'd)

Inverted Block

- Marginal cost greater than average cost
- Discourages consumption encourages conservation
- Lifeline rate
- Peak/Off-peak rates
 - water heater discounts
- Seasonal rates
- Real-time pricing

Demand component

Reflects fact that utility must have power available to serve customer - (i.e., capacity charges)
Encourages reduced usage at peak periods (load shifting)



- Uniform tariffs
 - Easier to administer
 - Social benefits of ensuring connectivity
 - For most customers,
 - Accompanied by line extension policies that require customer to pay much of cost of new extensions

Customer-Specific Tariffs

- Actual customer cost-based tariffs
 - More precise (assuming can identify separate costs)
 - Generally not used in Vermont and most of US (except where customer has very clear distinguishing characteristics)
 - Difficult to calculate
 - What are the cost differences in an integrated electrical system?
 - for most customers, costs outweigh benefits

Special Contracts

- Customers with unique cost causing characteristics
- Economic Development, load or job retention
- Problem: selling power below cost yields inefficient use of resources and financially weak utilities
- Where not unique or where categorization possible, tariffs preferred