

How is the Energy Division of CPUC ensuring TRANSPARENCY?

Actionable Recommendations are detailed in the evaluation reports:

- IOUs and PAs are **required to follow up** on these recommendations per Commission Direction
- **Detailed feedback** on how they have addressed the issues came in “60-day” reports
- Recommendations sometimes are inserted in **Commission Decisions** to give more visibility to the expected action by the PA.

Framework for the portfolio is all **on line** and has **public review** process:

- Approved **savings estimates**
- **Reporting requirements**
- **Submissions** from IOUs and PAs
 - Planned and Actual
- Program **plans** and **status** of implementation (provided monthly)

Evaluation **Reports** and **data outputs** are all available on line:

- **Detailed project reports** available to program administrators
- **Annual report** synthesizes outputs from multiple reports to give status by **sector and portfolio**
- Links to ALL reports provided
- **Detailed measure specific data** available on line to “drill down” on changes from reported → evaluated

All **Evaluation Plans** and **Products** are **on line** and have **public review** processes:

- Energy Division solicits IOU and **public comments on plans and draft reports**
- **Master Evaluation Plan** available 24/7
 - Includes all projects, budgets, rationale
 - updated every 6 months to show changes
 - EM&V Spend status update available every 2 weeks on line for every project
- **Quarterly public meeting** to share status of implementation on the evaluation plan + review of current reports
- Material is now **centrally accessible on EEStats**

*In which areas do we NOT have
transparency and need it?*

*In which areas do we have
processes for transparency but have
not executed well?*