

Energy vs. financial market regulation

The new European rules to improve integrity and stability of (energy) commodity markets: Great solution or big illusion?

Johannes Kindler Vice-President Bundesnetzagentur ERGEG Vice-President

Session IV: Transparency

8th US-EU Energy Regulators Roundtable

Berlin, 26 - 27 October 2010



Overview

- Trading with electricity and gas:
 A strategic pillar of energy supply in Europe
- Hypes at the commodity markets
- Challenges for Energy Market integrity
- Regulators advice
- One Commission, competing approaches
- Current initiatives
- Merge the best ideas and what can we learn from the U.S.?



Trading with Electricity and Gas: Strategic Pillar of energy supply

On the way to the European Single Market trading with electricity and gas has become a strategic pillar of energy supply:



- Important tool
 - for utilities and the industry to control and hedge risks in more and more volatile markets
 - to integrate renewables in classical systems of supply and to keep them affordable
- Our Vision: Free Trading and a level playing field from Palermo to Hammerfest



The agricultural hype: Do fundamentals drive the price?

SKYROCKETING WHEAT, RICE AND CORN PRICES

"COTTON PRICES HITTING A 15-YEAR HIGH"

"ARABICA COFFEE RISING TO A 13-YEAR HIGH IN NEW YORK"

Source: FT.COM, 7th September 2010



Global economy still down/recovering. Oil prices high.

Development of crude oil prices from January 2007 until July 2010



Since
January 2009
oil prices are
rising again



Challenges for market integrity

Sharon Bowles, MEP (liberal!): "Markets are mad, bad and dangerous!"

Is she right?

Is energy trading sufficiently protected with regard to <u>market</u> <u>abuse</u>, excessive <u>price volatility</u> and <u>speculation</u>?

Note: "Mad" markets are not automatically "bad" ones, unless they are distorted by market abuse!!!



Challenges for market integrity

At the end of 2007, European Commission mandated an expert group of energy and financial regulators

Question:

Does the <u>current</u> regulation on financial markets (MAD, MiFID) provide <u>reasonable protection</u> against <u>market abuse</u> (insider dealing and market manipulation)?

Answer:

"The doors are wide open to any kind of market abuse"



What the experts found out:

Electricity and gas trading is NOT sufficiently protected against market abuse.

 Increase of energy trading creates <u>massive</u> "new" risks for market integrity (market abuse, insider trading, VAT fraud)

 Differences between national regimes result in (unjustified) barriers for wholesale trader to market entry and thus present an obstacle on the way towards a true pan-European energy market



ERGEG/CESR advice

What did ERGEG/CESR recommend?

A <u>sector-specific</u>, <u>tailor-made</u> anti market abuse regime (not only **gas** and **electricity**, but also **emission allowances**)

- Transparency of <u>fundamental</u> data (price sensitive information, e.g. power plant outages)
- Transparency of <u>trading</u> data (anonymous publication of transactions close to real-time)
- Efficient reporting
- Efficient <u>supervision</u> → who? and how?



ERGEG/CESR advice

A sector-specific regime is needed for electricity and gas trading, taking account of CO2-trading, some also call for inclusion of coal and oil markets.



One Commission...

...competing approaches:

Financial legislation:

- Review of Markets in
 Financial Instruments
 Directive (MiFID)
- Review of Market Abuse Directive (MAD)
- Special safeguards for OTC derivatives

Sector-specific legislation (energy, CO₂):

- 3rd Energy Package
- Proposal for an transparency and market integrity regime
- Draft Regulation on European
 Emissions Trading Scheme



Draft Regulation European Emissions Trading Scheme (Commissioner Hedegaard, Climate)

- Scope and impact
 - provisions on market abuse regime for ETS (insider dealing and market abuse)
 - Supervision by competent national authorities
- Status: Commission Draft forwarded to European Parliament and European Council (July 2010), Investigations on sufficiency of protection



Proposal for a sector-specific transparency and market integrity regime (Commissioner Oettinger, Energy)

- Scope and impact
 - Electricity and gas markets
 - Transparency of fundamental data
 - Reporting of transactional data
 - Definition of insider information and market manipulation
 - Monitoring by energy regulators (dominating role of ACER)
 - Enforcement by energy regulators (regarding spot/physical markets) and financial regulators (regarding financial instruments)
- Status: Proposal due in autumn 2010



European Markets Infrastructure Regulation (Commissioner Barnier, Internal Markets)

Scope and impact

- Regulation on OTC derivatives, central counterparties and trade repositories
- Mandatory trade repositories and mandatory clearing for OTC derivative
- Mitigation for non-financial firms who use OTC derivatives for hedging purposes

Status:

Proposal for regulation has been published on 15th Sept.



Review of Markets in Financial Instruments Directive

- Scope and Impact
 - MiFID is dedicated to protect investors and is targeted to monitor financial institutions;
 - Amendment of the scope of MiFID to cover most of the derivatives market (position limits, improve transactional transparency, <u>supervisory</u> <u>body</u> → <u>European Securities and Markets Authority</u>, <u>ESMA</u>)
 - Barnier fosters an extension of MiFID to cover larger parts of the commodity market. Barnier: "This is a key issue."
- Status
 - Public Hearing took place on 21st Sept 2010
 - Legislative proposals in spring 2011



Review of the Market Abuse directive

- Scope and impact
 - Market manipulation and insider trading of financial instruments
 - Review should fill the gaps in regulation of certain instruments and markets
- Status:
 - Legislative proposals in spring 2011



Risks and Shortcomings

- Even "honest" commodity trading contains considerable risks, especially for SMEs.
- Commissioner Barnier (like the G 20) has "forgotten" one crucial element: Transparency of <u>fundamental</u> data



Conclusions

Our advice: Merge the best ideas! Properly consider fundamental data transparency!

Better knowledge of the current market situation

- keeps traders from building up dangerous positions and exaggerated risk taking
- Is important for the reduction of systemic risks
- Is the best way to avoid "speculative hypes" and contributes to fair prices charged to end customers
- Easier access to information levels the playing field for small

traders to balance structural disadvantages reg. resources / IT



Conclusions

- → Responsibles in the gas + power sector have started to pay attention to Fundamental Data Transparency (3rd Energy Package, Draft Comitology Guidelines on Fundamental Data Transparency)
- → Energy regulators support the sector-specific approach of Oettinger (REMIT) and see the need for a prominent role of energy regulators!
- Furthermore, transparency about the fundamentals is crucial for all commodities as the knowledge of available quantities is price relevant, i.e. agricultural products, CO2-emissions and metals



Thanks for your attention!