Electric Reliability Requirements

Presentation to the Georgian National Energy and Water Supply Regulatory Commission

> Frankfort, KY May 2014

Andrew Melnykovych, Director of Communications Quang Nguyen, Office of General Counsel Kyle Willard, Division of Engineering James Rice, Division of Engineering









SAFE AND ADEQUATE SERVICE ARE REQUIRED BY LAW

KRS 278.042(2): Authorizes PSC to enforce service adequacy and safety standards for electric utilities; specific requirements are set by PSC regulation and National Electrical Safety Code (Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc.)

807 KAR 5:041

General requirement applies to both the utility and its customers: Operate in a way that prevents "undesirable effects" on the operations, service and equipment of the utility and its customers, as well as other utilities

Metering required for generation station output and power purchases Voltage and frequency standards - Standard nominal voltage required - Voltage variation no more than +/- 10% - Utility may limit customer-caused voltage drops to 4% (or no light flicker) - Voltage surveys required - Frequency set at 60 Hz

Continuity of Service

- Utilities required to make "all reasonable effort to prevent interruptions of service"
- "Shortest possible delay" required in service restoration
- Planned outages
 - Timed for least disruption
- Advance notification to affected customers

Continuity of Service

Significant unplanned outages must be reported to PSC:

- 500+ customers (or 10% of customers, whichever is smaller)
- Duration greater than 4 hours
- Must be reported within 2 hours
- Written report within 7 days

Evaluating and enforcing service reliability

Evaluating service reliability

- Uniform reporting standards in place only since 2007 (PSC case 2006-00494)
- Recent administrative proceeding (PSC case 2011-00450) revised reporting requirements

Current reliability indices

- System Average Interruption Duration Index (SAIDI)
- System Average Interruption Frequency Index (SAIFI)
- Customer Average Interruption Duration Index (CAIDI)

Current reliability indices

Reporting of indices based on criteria and definitions set by Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE Standard)

Annual reliability report

- Due May 1 every year
- System-wide SAIDI, SAIFI and CAIDI
- All circuits tracked to identify those with below-average reliability
- Utilities required to report corrective actions or, if no action take, explain their reasons

Annual reliability report

 Multiple reporting periods allows identification of overall service quality trends

Circuits with chronic reliability issues are identified

Limitations of reliability reporting

Circuits and utilities are not alike

- Setting/customer density: urban vs. rural
- Terrain: flat vs. mountainous
- Vegetation: type & density of trees
 Facilities: above-ground vs. buried

Limitations of reliability reporting

Circuits and utilities are not alike

- Outage causes will vary
- Restoration times will vary
- Therefore, outage frequency and duration will vary

Indices will vary across circuits within a given utility

Indices will vary across utilities

Due to the great variability in operating conditions for electric utilities, Kentucky has NOT set any minimum standards for reliability of electric service (either frequency or duration of outages)

Enforcing service reliability

- Kentucky law does not allow ratebased incentives or penalties (some states can require customer rebates if service standards are not met)
- General penalty provisions are financially insignificant (\$2,500 per violation maximum)

Enforcing service reliability

Kentucky uses several mechanisms Management audits Commission orders in connection with rate proceedings Commission investigations, either on complaint or PSC initiated

Management audits in service reliability Management audit statute (KRS 278.255) allows PSC to retain outside auditor/consultant at utility expense. Reliability audits have focused on: Utility construction practices Utility maintenance practices Utility funding for reliability-related operations and maintenance

Management audits in service reliability

- The management audit process:
- Commission orders audit, stating reasons for doing so
- Consultant is selected
- Consultant, PSC staff and utility meet to determine scope of audit
- Consultant conducts audit
- Audit report is issued; utility responds
- Audit findings and recommendations are basis for corrective action plan

Management audits in service reliability

- Implementing corrective action plan:
- Plan includes deadlines
 Reporting/monitoring
 Funding for implementation may be included in subsequent rate cases

Service reliability as an issue in rate cases

Rate cases are an opportunity to address service reliability:

 Customers or intervening parties can raise reliability issues in the rate proceeding
 PSC can tie revenue increases to spending on reliability improvements

Major outages focus attention on electric reliability issues

Major outages

Kentucky has frequent severe weather that can produce both localized and widespread outages.

 Tornadoes – spring/summer
 Straight-line winds – spring/summer/fall
 Heavy snow or ice – late fall through early spring

Major outages

Scope of outages

- 1,000s of customers for less than 24 hours is fairly common – several times per year
- 10K-50K customers for several days

usually at least once per year

 Larger or longer outages are less frequent



Major outages

Record outages

Wind:
Sept. 2008
600K
customers
Full restoration: 2 weeks



Record outages ce: Jan. 2009 **770K customers** Full restoration: 3 weeks

The "Ike and lee" report Prepared after the 2008/2009 record outages 64 major findings/recommendations to utilities, government and the public Addressed many issues that commonly Tarise after major outages September 2008 Wind Storm and the January 2009 Ice Storm

November 19, 2009

ADDING UP THE DAMAGE COSTS

	2008 wind storm	2009 ice storm	total
Damage to jurisdictional utilities	\$44.7 million	\$240 million	\$284.7 million
Insurance payouts**	\$533 million	\$335 million	\$868 million
Local government losses	\$17.3 million	\$41 million	\$58.3 million
TOTAL	\$595 million	\$616 million	\$1.21 billion
ALL FIGURES ARE ESTIMATES			

Totals do NOT include non-jurisdictional electric providers (TVA system, municipals) or private property losses not covered by insurance or disaster assistance

**Less than \$1.5 million to jurisdictional utilities

System hardening

Both storms were so severe that even lines built to higherthan-required standards did not survive.



Underground conversion

Cost to put all of Kentucky's current electric infrastructure underground:

AT LEAST \$217 BILLION





Converting all lines (either undergrounding or hardening) is neither economically nor technically feasible.

Undergrounding/hardening should be considered in certain circumstances



Inspections On-the-ground inspections should be primary **Post-restoration inspections Outage management systems** All electric utilities should have them Should be updated regularly

Service quality in rate cases

Vegetation management

- Uniform standard is not practical in Kentucky
- Balancing act in urban/suburban areas between reliability and aesthetics
- Rural conditions vary widely in both topography and vegetation





Rate case: Kentucky Power (2009-00459)

- Many customer complaints about poor reliability while case was under consideration
- Concurrent PSC investigation of reliability problems
- Settlement agreement set aside portion of revenue increase for reliability improvements
- Utility agreed to change vegetation management practices



Rate case: Kentucky Power (2009-00459) Before case: routine vegetation clearance only to first re-closer on circuit; otherwise as needed only After case: entire circuit cleared on regular cycle

Service quality investigation – voltage support



Complaint case: Meade County Rural Electric (2012-00310, 311, 312)

Filed by three residents who experienced problems after nearby rock quarry installed new equipment

PSC investigation included placement of monitoring equipment and inspections of facilities



Complaint case: Meade County Rural Electric (2012-00310, 311, 312)

Staff report filed – utility had opportunity to respond

Matter set for hearing in August



Didi madloba

THANK YOU

QUESTIONS?