



USAID
FROM THE AMERICAN PEOPLE



National
Association of
Regulatory
Utility
Commissioners

Incentives and Performance Mechanisms

Rob Ozar and Bob Kehres, MPSC Staff

May 23, 2014

Purpose of Performance Mechanisms

- Performance Mechanisms can be used to:
 - Guide utilities toward desired behavior
 - Control costs/expenditures
 - Remove utility disincentives
 - Induce utilities to meet or exceed minimum performance standards

Alternative Regulatory Mechanisms

- Mechanisms can take several forms:
 - Reasonable and prudent cost recovery
 - Revenue decoupling (revenue tracker)
 - Revenue sharing
 - Cost index
 - Performance Incentive (performance standard)
- Most mechanisms include an annual reconciliation

Gas Cost Recovery Mechanism

- Concurrent recovery of expenditures via surcharge
- Guaranteed recovery of “reasonable and prudent” expenditures
- Annual reconciliation
 - Financial reconciliation
 - Interest on over/under recoveries
 - Prudency review

Revenue Decoupling Mechanism

- Simple Revenue Tracker with Caps
- Breaks the connection between throughput and revenues (between rate-cases)
 - Removes the dis-incentive to promote energy efficiency
- Base revenues from most current rate case (revenue requirement) less actual revenues
 - Cap on surcharges or refunds

Revenue Sharing Mechanism

- Example: Natural Gas Storage (excess gas storage capacity)
- General rate case excludes revenues
- Annual reconciliation
- 90% of revenues returned to customers
- 10% of revenues retained by stockholders
- Incentive to maximize revenues (win/win)

Cost Index

- O&M Indexing Mechanism
- Control costs during high inflation periods
- Provide simultaneous recovery of expenses
- Base O&M adjusted by monthly Consumer Price Index

Energy Efficiency Program (Performance Incentive Mechanism)

- Incentive payment: smaller of 15% of annual spend or 25% of net benefits
- Performance standard: set by Commission
 - Quantitative
 - Exceed energy savings standard (1% of retail sales)
 - Qualitative
 - Low income; peak reduction; multi-utility coordination; etc.

Distribution Service Quality Incentives and Penalties

- Legislation passed in 2000 required the Commission to adopt generally applicable service quality and reliability standards for the generation and distribution systems of electric utilities:

This law stated:

- ***“The commission is authorized to levy financial incentives and penalties upon any jurisdictional entity which exceeds or fails to meet the service quality and reliability standards.”***

Distribution Service Quality Incentives and Penalties

- The Commission's response was to approve administrative rules:
 - The **incentive** provides that the Commission may authorize an electric utility to receive a financial incentive if it exceeds all of the service quality and reliability standards adopted by the Commission.
 - No Michigan utility has ever received an incentive.

Distribution Service Quality Incentives and Penalties

- The Commission also approved penalties in the nature of customer credits in these administrative rules:
 - The **penalty** is a bill credit of \$25 (or the amount of the monthly customer charge, whichever is greater) if any of these service quality and reliability standards occurs:
 - Failure to restore service to a customer within 120 hours after a catastrophic storm.
 - Failure to restore service to a customer within 16 hours during normal conditions.
 - A customer experiences more than 7 interruptions in a 12-month period due to a same-circuit repetitive interruption

Questions?